arXiv:0907.1257v1 [math.DG] 7 Jul 2009

DIRAC STRUCTURES AND DIXMIER-DOUADY BUNDLES

A. ALEKSEEV AND E. MEINRENKEN

Abstract. A Dirac structure on a vector bundle V is a maximal isotropic subbundle E of the direct sum $V \oplus V^*$. We show how to associate to any Dirac structure a Dixmier-Douady bundle $\mathcal{A}_E$, that is, a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded bundle of C^*-algebras with typical fiber the compact operators on a Hilbert space. The construction has good functorial properties, relative to Morita morphisms of Dixmier-Douady bundles. As applications, we show that the Dixmier-Douady bundle $\mathcal{A}_G^{\mathrm{Spin}} \to G$ over a compact, connected Lie group (as constructed by Atiyah-Segal) is multiplicative, and we obtain a canonical 'twisted Spin_c-structure' on spaces with group valued moment maps.

Dedicated to Richard Melrose on the occasion of his 60th birthday.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Dirac structures and Dirac morphisms 4
3. Dixmier-Douady bundles and Morita morphisms 8
4. Families of skew-adjoint real Fredholm operators 12
5. From Dirac structures to Dixmier-Douady bundles 17
6. The Dixmier-Douady bundle over the orthogonal group 23
7. q-Hamiltonian G-spaces 25
8. Hamiltonian LG-spaces 33

Appendix A. Boundary conditions 34
Appendix B. The Dixmier-Douady bundle over S^1 37
References 39

1. Introduction

A classical result of Dixmier and Douady [11] states that the degree three cohomology group $H^3(M,\mathbb{Z})$ classifies Morita isomorphism classes of C^*-algebra bundles $\mathcal{A} \to M$, with typical fiber $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})$ the compact operators on a Hilbert space. Here a Morita isomorphism $\mathcal{E}\colon \mathcal{A}_1 \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_2$ is a bundle $\mathcal{E} \to M$ of bimodules , locally modeled on the $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_2) - \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_1)$ bimodule $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$. Dixmier-Douady bundles $\mathcal{A} \to M$ may be regarded as higher

---

Date: July 7, 2009.

analogues of line bundles, with Morita isomorphisms replacing line bundle isomorphisms. An important example of a Dixmier-Douady bundle is the Clifford algebra bundle of a Euclidean vector bundle of even rank; a Morita isomorphism $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ amounts to a Spin_c-structure on V.

Given a Dixmier-Douady bundle $\mathcal{A} \to M$, one has the twisted K-homology group $K_0(M, \mathcal{A})$, defined as the K-homology of the C^*-algebra of sections of $\mathcal{A}$ (see Rosenberg [28]). Twisted K-homology is a covariant functor relative to morphisms

$$(\Phi, \mathcal{E})\colon \mathcal{A}_1 \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_2,$$

given by a proper map $\Phi\colon M_1 \to M_2$ and a Morita isomorphism $\mathcal{E}\colon \mathcal{A}_1 \dashrightarrow \Phi^*\mathcal{A}_2$. For example, if M is an even-dimensional Riemannian manifold, the twisted K-group $K_0(M, \mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(TM))$ contains a distinguished *Kasparov fundamental class* $[M]$, and in order to push this class forward under the map $\Phi\colon M \to \mathrm{pt}$ one needs a Morita morphism $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(TM) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, i.e. a Spin_c-structure on M. The push-forward $\Phi_*[M] \in K_0(\mathrm{pt}) = \mathbb{Z}$ is then the index of the associated Spin_c-Dirac operator. Similarly, if $\mathcal{A} \to G$ is a Dixmier-Douady bundle over a Lie group, the definition of a 'convolution product' on $K_0(G, \mathcal{A})$ as a push-forward under group multiplication $\mathrm{mult}\colon G \times G \to G$ requires an associative Morita morphism $(\mathrm{mult}, \mathcal{E})\colon\ \mathrm{pr}_1^* \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathrm{pr}_2^* \mathcal{A} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}$.

In this paper, we will relate the Dixmier-Douady theory to Dirac geometry. A (linear) *Dirac structure* $(\mathbb{V}, E)$ over M is a vector bundle $V \to M$ together with a subbundle

$$E \subset \mathbb{V} := V \oplus V^*,$$

such that E is maximal isotropic relative to the natural symmetric bilinear form on $\mathbb{V}$. Obvious examples of Dirac structures are $(\mathbb{V}, V)$ and $(\mathbb{V}, V^*)$.

One of the main results of this paper is the construction of a *Dirac-Dixmier-Douady functor*, associating to any Dirac structure $(\mathbb{V}, E)$ a Dixmier-Douady bundle $\mathcal{A}_E$, and to every 'strong' morphism of Dirac structures $(\mathbb{V}, E) \dashrightarrow (\mathbb{V}', E')$ a Morita morphism $\mathcal{A}_E \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{E'}$.

The Dixmier-Douady bundle $\mathcal{A}_{V^*}$ is canonically Morita trivial, while $\mathcal{A}_V$ (for V of even rank) is canonically Morita isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(V)$. An interesting example of a Dirac structure is the Cartan-Dirac structure $(\mathbb{T}G, E)$ for a compact Lie group G. The Cartan-Dirac structures is multiplicative, in the sense that there exists a distinguished Dirac morphism

$$(\mathbb{T}G, E) \times (\mathbb{T}G, E) \dashrightarrow (\mathbb{T}G, E) \tag{1}$$

(with underlying map the group multiplication). The associated Dixmier-Douady bundle $\mathcal{A}_E =: \mathcal{A}_G^{\mathrm{spin}}$ is related to the spin representation of the loop group LG. This bundle (or equivalently the corresponding bundle of projective Hilbert spaces) was described by Atiyah-Segal [6, Section 5], and plays a role in the work of Freed-Hopkins-Teleman [14]. As an immediate consequence of our theory, the Dirac morphism (1) gives rise to a Morita morphism

$$(\mathrm{mult}, \mathcal{E})\colon\ \mathrm{pr}_1^* \mathcal{A}_G^{\mathrm{Spin}} \otimes \mathrm{pr}_2^* \mathcal{A}_G^{\mathrm{Spin}} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_G^{\mathrm{Spin}}. \tag{2}$$

Another class of examples comes from the theory of quasi-Hamiltonian G-spaces, that is, spaces with G-valued moment maps $\Phi\colon M \to G$ [2]. Typical examples of such spaces are products of conjugacy classes in G. As observed by Bursztyn-Crainic [7], the structure of a quasi-Hamiltonian space on M defines a strong Dirac morphism $(\mathbb{T}M, TM) \dashrightarrow (\mathbb{T}G, E)$ to the Cartan-Dirac structure. Therefore, our theory gives a Morita morphism $\mathcal{A}_{TM} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_G^{\mathrm{Spin}}$. On the other hand, as remarked above $\mathcal{A}_{TM}$ is canonically Morita isomorphic to the Clifford bundle $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(TM)$, provided $\dim M$ is even (this is automatic if G is connected). One may think of the resulting Morita morphism

$$\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(TM) \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_G^{\mathrm{Spin}} \tag{3}$$

(with underlying map Φ) as a 'twisted Spin_c-structure' on M (following the terminology of Bai-Lin Wang [33] and Douglas [12]). In a forthcoming paper [19], we will define a *pre-quantization* of M [31, 34] in terms of a G-equivariant Morita morphism $(\Phi, \mathcal{E})\colon \mathbb{C} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_G^{\mathrm{preq}}$. Tensoring with (3), one obtains a push-forward map in equivariant twisted K-homology

$$\Phi_*\colon K_0^G(M, \mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(TM)) \to K_0^G(G, \mathcal{A}_G^{\mathrm{preq}} \otimes \mathcal{A}_G^{\mathrm{Spin}}).$$

For G compact, simple and simply connected, the Freed-Hopkins-Teleman theorem [13, 14] identifies the target of this map as the fusion ring (Verlinde algebra) $R_k(G)$, where k is the given level. The element $\mathcal{Q}(M) = \Phi_*[M]$ of the fusion ring will be called the *quantization* of the quasi-Hamiltonian space. We will see in [19] that its properties are similar to the geometric quantization of Hamiltonian G-spaces.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2 we consider Dirac structures and morphisms on vector bundles, and some of their basic examples. We observe that any Dirac morphism defines a path of Dirac structures inside a larger bundle. We introduce the 'tautological' Dirac structure over the orthogonal group and show that group multiplication lifts to a Dirac morphism. Section 3 gives a quick review of some Dixmier-Douady theory. In Section 4 we give a detailed construction of Dixmier-Douady bundles from families of skew-adjoint real Fredholm operators. In Section 5 we observe that any Dirac structure on a Euclidean vector bundle gives such a family of skew-adjoint real Fredholm operators, by defining a family of boundary conditions for the operator $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ on the interval $[0, 1]$. Furthermore, to any Dirac morphism we associate a Morita morphism of the Dixmier-Douady bundles, and we show that this construction has good functorial properties. In Section 7 we describe the construction of twisted Spin_c-structures for quasi-Hamiltonian G-spaces. In Section 8, we show that the associated Hamiltonian loop group space carries a distinguished 'canonical line bundle', generalizing constructions from [15] and [21].

Acknowledgments. It is a pleasure to thank Gian-Michel Graf, Marco Gualtieri and Nigel Higson for useful comments and discussion. Research of A.A. was supported by the grants 200020-120042 and 200020-121675 of the Swiss National Science Foundation. E.M was supported by an NSERC Discovery Grant and a Steacie Fellowship.

2. Dirac structures and Dirac morphisms

We begin with a review of linear Dirac structures on vector spaces and on vector bundles [1, 8]. In this paper, we will not consider any notions of integrability.

2.1. **Dirac structures.** For any vector space V, the direct sum $\mathbb{V} = V \oplus V^*$ carries a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form extending the pairing between V and V^*,

$$\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle = \mu_1(v_2) + \mu_2(v_1), \quad x_i = (v_i, \mu_i).$$

A *morphism* $(\Theta, \omega)\colon \mathbb{V} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{V}'$ is a linear map $\Theta\colon V \to V'$ together with a 2-form $\omega \in \wedge^2 V^*$. The composition of two morphisms $(\Theta, \omega)\colon \mathbb{V} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{V}'$ and $(\Theta', \omega')\colon \mathbb{V}' \dashrightarrow \mathbb{V}''$ is defined as follows:

$$(\Theta', \omega') \circ (\Theta, \omega) = (\Theta' \circ \Theta, \omega + \Theta^* \omega').$$

Any morphism $(\Theta, \omega)\colon \mathbb{V} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{V}'$ defines a relation between elements of $\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{V}'$ as follows:

$$(v, \alpha) \sim_{(\Theta,\omega)} (v', \alpha') \ \Leftrightarrow \ v' = \Theta(v), \ \alpha = \iota_v \omega + \Theta^* \alpha'.$$

Given a subspace $E \subset \mathbb{V}$, we define its *forward image* to be the set of all $x' \in \mathbb{V}'$ such that $x \sim_{(\Theta,\omega)} x'$ for some $x \in E$. For instance, V^* has forward image equal to $(V')^*$. Similarly, the *backward image* of a subspace $E' \subset \mathbb{V}'$ is the set of all $x \in \mathbb{V}$ such that $x \sim_{(\Phi,\omega)} x'$ for some $x' \in E'$. The backward image of $\{0\} \subset \mathbb{V}'$ is denoted $\ker(\Theta, \omega)$, and the forward image of $\mathbb{V}$ is denoted $\mathrm{ran}(\Theta, \omega)$.

A subspace E is called *Lagrangian* if it is maximal isotropic, i.e. $E^\perp = E$. Examples are $V, V^* \subset \mathbb{V}$. The forward image of a Lagrangian subspace $E \subset \mathbb{U}$ under a Dirac morphism (Θ, ω) is again Lagrangian. On the set of Lagrangian subspaces with $E \cap \ker(\Theta, \omega) = 0$, the forward image depends continuously on E. The choice of a Lagrangian subspace $E \subset \mathbb{V}$ defines a (linear) *Dirac structure*, denoted $(\mathbb{V}, E)$. We say that (Θ, ω) defines a *Dirac* morphism

$$(\Theta, \omega)\colon (\mathbb{V}, E) \dashrightarrow (\mathbb{V}', E') \tag{4}$$

if E' is the *forward image* of E, and a *strong* Dirac morphism if furthermore $E \cap \ker(\Theta, \omega) = 0$. The composition of strong Dirac morphisms is again a strong Dirac morphism.

Examples 2.1.
(a) Every morphism $(\Theta, \omega)\colon \mathbb{V} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{V}'$ defines a strong Dirac morphism $(\mathbb{V}, V^*) \dashrightarrow (\mathbb{V}', (V')^*)$.
(b) The zero Dirac morphism $(0, 0)\colon (\mathbb{V}, E) \dashrightarrow (0, 0)$ is strong if and only if $E \cap V = 0$.

(c) Given vector spaces V, V', any 2-form $\omega \in \wedge^2 V^*$ defines a Dirac morphism $(0,\omega)\colon (\mathbb{V}, V) \dashrightarrow (\mathbb{V}', (V')^*)$. It is a *strong* Dirac morphism if and only if ω is non-degenerate. (This is true in particular if $V' = 0$.)

(d) If $E = V$, a Dirac morphism $(\Theta, \omega)\colon (\mathbb{V}, V) \dashrightarrow (\mathbb{V}', E')$ is strong if and only if $\ker(\omega) \cap \ker(\Theta) = 0$.

2.2. **Paths of Lagrangian subspaces.** The following observation will be used later on. Suppose (4) is a strong Dirac morphism. Then there is a distinguished path connecting the subspaces

$$E_0 = E \oplus (V')^*, \quad E_1 = V^* \oplus E', \tag{5}$$

of $\mathbb{V} \oplus \mathbb{V}'$, as follows. Define a family of morphisms $(j_t, \omega_t)\colon \mathbb{V} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{V} \oplus \mathbb{V}'$ interpolating between $(\mathrm{id} \oplus 0, 0)$ and $(0 \oplus \Theta, \omega)$:

$$j_t(v) = ((1-t)v, t\Theta(v)), \quad \omega_t = t\omega.$$

Then

$$\ker(j_t, \omega_t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t \neq 1, \\ \ker(\Theta, \omega) & t = 0. \end{cases}$$

Since (Θ, ω) is a strong Dirac morphism, it follows that E is transverse to $\ker(j_t, \omega_t)$ for all t. Hence the forward images $E_t \subset \mathbb{V} \oplus \mathbb{V}'$ under (j_t, ω_t) are a continuous path of Lagrangian subspaces, taking on the values (5) for $t = 0, 1$. We will refer to E_t as the *standard path* defined by the Dirac morphism (4).

Given another strong Dirac morphism $(\Theta', \omega')\colon (\mathbb{V}', E') \dashrightarrow (\mathbb{V}'', E'')$, define a 2-parameter family of morphisms $(j_{tt'}, \omega_{tt'})\colon \mathbb{V} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{V} \oplus \mathbb{V}' \oplus \mathbb{V}''$ by

$$j_{tt'}(v) = \big((1-t-t')v, t\Theta(v), t'\Theta'(\Theta(v))\big), \quad \omega_{tt'} = t\omega + t'(\omega + \Theta^*\omega')$$

Then

$$\ker(j_{tt'}, \omega_{tt'}) = \begin{cases} 0 & t + t' \neq 1 \\ \ker(\Theta, \omega) & t + t' = 1,\ t \neq 0, \\ \ker((\Theta', \omega') \circ (\Theta, \omega)), & t = 0,\ t' = 1 \end{cases}.$$

In all cases, $\ker(j_{tt'}, \omega_{tt'}) \cap E = 0$, hence we obtain a continuous 2-parameter family of Lagrangian subspaces $E_{tt'} \subset \mathbb{V} \oplus \mathbb{V}' \oplus \mathbb{V}''$ by taking the forward images of E. We have,

$$E_{00} = E \oplus (V')^* \oplus (V'')^*, \quad E_{10} = V^* \oplus E' \oplus (V'')^*, \ E_{01} = V^* \oplus (V')^* \oplus E''$$

Furthermore, the path E_{s0} (resp. E_{0s}, $E_{1-s,s}$) is the direct sum of $(V'')^*$ (resp. of $(V')^*$, V^*) with the standard path defined by (Θ, ω) (resp. by $(\Theta', \omega') \circ (\Theta, \omega)$, (Θ', ω').)

2.3. **The parity of a Lagrangian subspace.** Let $\mathrm{Lag}(\mathbb{V})$ be the *Lagrangian Grassmannian* of $\mathbb{V}$, i.e. the set of Lagrangian subspaces $E \subset \mathbb{V}$. It is a submanifold of the Grassmannian of subspaces of dimension $\dim V$. $\mathrm{Lag}(\mathbb{V})$ has two connected components, which are distinguished by the mod 2 dimension of the intersection $E \cap V$. We will say that E has *even* or *odd parity*, depending on whether $\dim(E \cap V)$ is even or odd. The parity is preserved under strong Dirac morphisms:

Proposition 2.2. *Let $(\Theta, \omega)\colon (\mathbb{V}, E) \dashrightarrow (\mathbb{V}', E')$ be a strong Dirac morphism. Then the parity of E' coincides with that of E.*

Proof. Clearly, E has the same parity as $E_0 = E \oplus (V')^*$, while E' has the same parity as $E_1 = V^* \oplus E'$. But the Lagrangian subspaces $E_0, E_1 \subset \mathbb{V} \oplus \mathbb{V}'$ have the same parity since they are in the same path component of $\mathrm{Lag}(\mathbb{V} \oplus \mathbb{V}')$. $\square$

2.4. **Orthogonal transformations.** Suppose V is a Euclidean vector space, with inner product B. Then the Lagrangian Grassmannian $\mathrm{Lag}(\mathbb{V})$ is isomorphic to the orthogonal group of V, by the map associating to $A \in \mathrm{O}(V)$ the Lagrangian subspace

$$E_A = \{((I - A^{-1})v,\ (I + A^{-1})\tfrac{v}{2})|\ v \in V\}.$$

Here B is used to identify $V^* \cong V$, and the factor of $\frac{1}{2}$ in the second component is introduced to make our conventions consistent with [1]. For instance,

$$E_{-I} = V,\quad E_I = V^*,\quad E_{A^{-1}} = (E_A)^{\mathrm{op}}$$

where we denote $E^{\mathrm{op}} = \{(v, -\alpha)|\ (v, \alpha) \in E\}$. It is easy to see that the Lagrangian subspaces corresponding to A_1, A_2 are transverse if and only if $A_1 - A_2$ is invertible; more generally one has $E_{A_1} \cap E_{A_2} \cong \ker(A_1 - A_2)$. As a special case, taking $A_1 = A,\ A_2 = -I$ it follows that the parity of a Lagrangian subspace $E = E_A$ is determined by $\det(A) = \pm 1$.

Remark 2.3. The definition of E_A may also be understood as follows. Let V^- denote V with the opposite bilinear form $-B$. Then $V \oplus V^-$ with split bilinear form $B \oplus (-B)$ is isometric to $\mathbb{V} = V \oplus V^*$ by the map $(a, b) \mapsto (a-b,\ (a+b)/2)$. This defines an inclusion $\kappa\colon\ \mathrm{O}(V) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{O}(V \oplus V^-) \cong \mathrm{O}(\mathbb{V})$. The group $\mathrm{O}(\mathbb{V})$ acts on Lagrangian subspaces, and one has $E_A = \kappa(A) \cdot V^*$.

2.5. **Dirac structures on vector bundles.** The theory developed above extends to (continuous) vector bundles $V \to M$ in a straightforward way. Thus, Dirac structures $(\mathbb{V}, E)$ are now given in terms of Lagrangian sub-bundles $E \subset \mathbb{V} = V \oplus V^*$. Given a Euclidean metric on V, the Lagrangian sub-bundles are identified with sections $A \in \Gamma(\mathrm{O}(V))$. A Dirac morphism $(\Theta, \omega)\colon (\mathbb{V}, E) \dashrightarrow (\mathbb{V}', E')$ is a vector bundle map $\Theta\colon V \to V'$ together with a 2-form $\omega \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 V^*)$, such that the fiberwise maps and 2-forms define Dirac morphisms $(\Theta_m, \omega_m)\colon (\mathbb{V}_m, E_m) \dashrightarrow (\mathbb{V}'_{\Phi(m)}, E'_{\Phi(m)})$. Here Φ is the map on the base underlying the bundle map Θ.

Example 2.4. For any Dirac structure $(\mathbb{V}, E)$, let $U := \operatorname{ran}(E) \subset V$ be the projection of E along V^*. If U is a sub-bundle of V, then the inclusion $U \hookrightarrow V$ defines a strong Dirac morphism, $(\mathbb{U}, U) \dashrightarrow (\mathbb{V}, E)$. More generally, if $\Phi\colon N \to M$ is such that $U := \Phi^* \operatorname{ran}(E) \subset \Phi^* V$ is a sub-bundle, then Φ together with fiberwise inclusion defines a strong Dirac morphism $(\mathbb{U}, U) \dashrightarrow (\mathbb{V}, E)$. For instance, if $(\mathbb{V}, E)$ is invariant under the action of a Lie group, one may take Φ to be the inclusion of an orbit.

2.6. **The Dirac structure over the orthogonal group.** Let X be a vector space, and put $\mathbb{X} = X \oplus X^*$. The trivial bundle $V_{\operatorname{Lag}(\mathbb{X})} = \operatorname{Lag}(\mathbb{X}) \times X$ carries a *tautological Dirac structure* $(\mathbb{V}_{\operatorname{Lag}(\mathbb{X})}, E_{\operatorname{Lag}(\mathbb{X})})$, with fiber $(E_{\operatorname{Lag}(\mathbb{X})})_m$ at $m \in \operatorname{Lag}(\mathbb{X})$ the Lagrangian subspace labeled by m. Given a Euclidean metric B on X, we may identify $\operatorname{Lag}(\mathbb{X}) = \mathrm{O}(X)$; the tautological Dirac structure will be denoted by $(\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{O}(X)}, E_{\mathrm{O}(X)})$. It is equivariant for the conjugation action on $\mathrm{O}(X)$. We will now show that the tautological Dirac structure over $\mathrm{O}(X)$ is multiplicative, in the sense that group multiplication lifts to a strong Dirac morphism. Let $\Sigma\colon V_{\mathrm{O}(X)} \times V_{\mathrm{O}(X)} \to V_{\mathrm{O}(X)}$ be the bundle map, given by the group multiplication on $V_{\mathrm{O}(X)}$ viewed as a semi-direct product $\mathrm{O}(X) \ltimes X$. That is,

$$\Sigma((A_1, \xi_1), (A_2, \xi_2)) = (A_1 A_2,\ A_2^{-1}\xi_1 + \xi_2). \tag{6}$$

Let σ be the 2-form on $V_{\mathrm{O}(X)} \times V_{\mathrm{O}(X)}$, given at $(A_1, A_2) \in \mathrm{O}(X) \times \mathrm{O}(X)$ as follows,

$$\sigma_{(A_1, A_2)}((\xi_1, \xi_2), (\zeta_1, \zeta_2)) = \tfrac{1}{2}(B(\xi_1,\ A_2\zeta_2) - B(A_2\xi_2, \zeta_1)). \tag{7}$$

Similar to [1, Section 3.4] we have:

Proposition 2.5. *The map Σ and 2-form σ define a strong Dirac morphism*

$$(\Sigma, \sigma)\colon (\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{O}(X)}, E_{\mathrm{O}(X)}) \times (\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{O}(X)}, E_{\mathrm{O}(X)}) \dashrightarrow (\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{O}(X)}, E_{\mathrm{O}(X)})$$

This morphism is associative in the sense that

$$(\Sigma, \sigma) \circ (\Sigma \times \mathrm{id}, \sigma \times 0) = (\Sigma, \sigma) \circ (\mathrm{id} \times \Sigma, 0 \times \sigma)$$

as morphisms $(\mathbb{V}, E) \times (\mathbb{V}, E) \times (\mathbb{V}, E) \dashrightarrow (\mathbb{V}, E)$.

Outline of Proof. Given $A_1, A_2 \in \mathrm{O}(X)$ let $A = A_1 A_2$, and put

$$e(\xi) = ((I - A^{-1})\xi,\ (I + A^{-1})\tfrac{\xi}{2}), \quad \xi \in X. \tag{8}$$

Define $e_i(\xi_i)$ similarly for A_1, A_2. One checks that

$$e_1(\xi_1) \times e_2(\xi_2) \sim_{(\Sigma, \sigma)} e(\xi)$$

if and only if $\xi_1 = \xi_2 = \xi$. The straightforward calculation is left to the reader. It follows that every element in $E_{\mathrm{O}(X)}|_A$ is related to a unique element in $E_{\mathrm{O}(X)}|_{A_1} \times E_{\mathrm{O}(X)}|_{A_2}$. $\square$

2.7. **Cayley transform and exponential map.** The trivial bundle $V_{\wedge^2 X} = \wedge^2 X \times X$ carries a Dirac structure $(\mathbb{V}_{\wedge^2 X},\ E_{\wedge^2 X})$, with fiber at $a \in \wedge^2 X$ the graph $\mathrm{Gr}_a = \{(\iota_\mu a, \mu)|\, \mu \in X^*\}$. It may be viewed as the restriction of the tautological Dirac structure under the inclusion $\wedge^2 X \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Lag}(\mathbb{X}),\ a \mapsto \mathrm{Gr}_a$. Use a Euclidean metric B on X to identify $\wedge^2 X = \mathfrak{o}(X)$, and write $(\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{o}(X)},\, E_{\mathfrak{o}(X)})$. The orthogonal transformation corresponding to the Lagrangian subspace Gr_a is given by the Cayley transform $\frac{I+a/2}{I-a/2}$. Hence, the bundle map

$$\Theta\colon V_{\mathfrak{o}(X)} \to V_{\mathrm{O}(X)},\ (a, \xi) \mapsto (\tfrac{I+a/2}{I-a/2},\, \xi)$$

together with the zero 2-form define a strong Dirac morphism

$$(\Theta, 0)\colon (\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{o}(X)}, E_{\mathfrak{o}(X)}) \dashrightarrow (\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{O}(X)},\ E_{\mathrm{O}(X)}),$$

with underlying map the Cayley transform. On the other hand, we may also try to lift the exponential map $\exp\colon \mathfrak{o}(X) \to \mathrm{O}(X)$. Let

$$\Pi\colon V_{\mathfrak{o}(X)} \to V_{\mathrm{O}(X)},\ (a, \xi) \mapsto (\exp(a),\ \tfrac{I-e^{-a}}{a}\xi), \tag{9}$$

the exponential map for the semi-direct product $\mathfrak{o}(X) \ltimes X \to \mathrm{O}(X) \ltimes X$. Define a 2-form ϖ on $V_{\mathfrak{o}(X)}$ by

$$\varpi_a(\xi_1, \xi_2) = -B(\tfrac{a-\sinh(a)}{a^2}\xi_1,\, \xi_2). \tag{10}$$

The following is parallel to [1, Section 3.5].

Proposition 2.6. *The map* Π *and the 2-form* ϖ *define a Dirac morphism*

$$(\Pi, -\varpi)\colon (\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{o}(X)}, E_{\mathfrak{o}(X)}) \dashrightarrow (\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{O}(X)}, E_{\mathrm{O}(X)}).$$

It is a strong Dirac morphism over the open subset $\mathfrak{o}(V)_\natural$ *where the exponential map has maximal rank.*

Outline of Proof. Let $a \in \mathfrak{o}(X)$ and $A = \exp(a)$ be given. Let $e(\xi)$ be as in 8, and define $e_0(\xi) = (a\xi, \xi)$. One checks by straightforward calculation that

$$e_0(\xi) \sim_{(\Pi,-\varpi)} e(\xi)$$

proving that $(\Pi, -\varpi)\colon (\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{o}(X)}, E_{\mathfrak{o}(X)}) \dashrightarrow (\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{O}(X)}, E_{\mathrm{O}(X)})$ is a Dirac morphism. Suppose now that the exponential map is regular at a. By the well-known formula for the differential of the exponential map, this is equivalent to invertibility of Π_a. An element of the form $(a\xi, \xi)$ lies in $\ker(\Theta, \omega)$ if and only if $\Pi_a(a\xi) = 0$ and $\xi = \iota_{a\xi}\varpi_a$. The first condition shows $a\xi = 0$, and then the second condition gives $\xi = 0$. Hence $e_0(\xi) \sim_{(\Pi,-\varpi)} 0 \Rightarrow \xi = 0$. Conversely, if Π_a is not invertible, and $\xi \neq 0$ is an element in the kernel, then $(a\xi, \xi) \sim_{(\Pi,-\varpi)} 0$. ☐

3. Dixmier-Douady bundles and Morita morphisms

We give a quick review of Dixmier-Douady bundles, geared towards applications in twisted K-theory. For more information we refer to the articles [11, 6, 28, 16, 17, 18] and the monograph [26]. Dixmier-Douady bundles are also known as *Azumaya bundles.*

3.1. **Dixmier-Douady bundles.** A *Dixmier-Douady bundle* is a locally trivial bundle $\mathcal{A} \to M$ of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded C^*-algebras, with typical fiber $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})$ the compact operators on a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded (separable) complex Hilbert space, and with structure group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})) = \mathrm{PU}(\mathcal{H})$, using the strong operator topology. The tensor product of two such bundles $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2 \to M$ modeled on $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_1), \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_2)$ is a Dixmier-Douady bundle $\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2$ modeled on $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2)$. For any Dixmier-Douady bundle $\mathcal{A} \to M$ modeled on $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})$, the bundle of opposite C^*-algebras $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}} \to M$ is a Dixmier-Douady bundle modeled on $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{op}})$, where $\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{op}}$ denotes the opposite (or conjugate) Hilbert space.

3.2. **Morita isomorphisms.** A *Morita isomorphism* $\mathcal{E}\colon \mathcal{A}_1 \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_2$ between two Dixmier-Douady bundles over M is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded bundle $\mathcal{E} \to M$ of Banach spaces, with a fiberwise $\mathcal{A}_2 - \mathcal{A}_1$ bimodule structure

$$\mathcal{A}_2 \circlearrowright \mathcal{E} \circlearrowleft \mathcal{A}_1$$

that is locally modeled on $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_2) \circlearrowright \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2) \circlearrowleft \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_1)$. Here $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ denotes the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded Banach space of compact operators from $\mathcal{H}_1$ to $\mathcal{H}_2$. In terms of the associated principal bundles, a Morita isomorphism is given by a lift of the structure group $\mathrm{PU}(\mathcal{H}_2) \times \mathrm{PU}(\mathcal{H}_1^{\mathrm{op}})$ of $\mathcal{A}_2 \otimes \mathcal{A}_1^{\mathrm{op}}$ to $\mathrm{PU}(\mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \mathcal{H}_1^{\mathrm{op}})$. The composition of two Morita isomorphisms $\mathcal{E}\colon \mathcal{A}_1 \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_2$ and $\mathcal{E}'\colon \mathcal{A}_2 \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_3$ is given by $\mathcal{E}' \circ \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}' \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_2} \mathcal{E}$, the fiberwise completion of the algebraic tensor product over $\mathcal{A}_2$. In local trivializations, it is given by the composition $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_3) \times \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2) \to \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_3)$.

Examples 3.1.

(a) A Morita isomorphism $\mathcal{E}\colon \mathbb{C} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is called a *Morita trivialization* of $\mathcal{A}$, and amounts to a Hilbert space bundle $\mathcal{E}$ with an isomorphism $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{E})$.

(b) Any $*$-bundle isomorphism $\phi\colon \mathcal{A}_1 \to \mathcal{A}_2$ may be viewed as a Morita isomorphism $\mathcal{A}_1 \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_2$, by taking $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{A}_2$ with the $\mathcal{A}_2 - \mathcal{A}_1$-bimodule action $x_2 \cdot y \cdot x_1 = x_2\, y\, \phi(x_1)$.

(c) For any Morita isomorphism $\mathcal{E}\colon \mathcal{A}_1 \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_2$ there is an *opposite* Morita isomorphism $\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{op}}\colon \mathcal{A}_2 \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_1$, where $\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{op}}$ is equal to $\mathcal{E}$ as a real vector bundle, but with the opposite scalar multiplication. Denoting by $\chi\colon \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{op}}$ the anti-linear map given by the identity map of the underlying real bundle, the $\mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{A}_2$-bimodule action reads $x_1 \cdot \chi(e) \cdot x_2 = \chi(x_2^* \cdot e \cdot x_1^*)$. The Morita isomorphism $\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{op}}$ is 'inverse' to $\mathcal{E}$, in the sense that there are canonical bimodule isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{op}} \circ \mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{A}_1, \qquad \mathcal{E} \circ \mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{op}} \cong \mathcal{A}_2.$$

3.3. **Dixmier-Douady theorem.** The Dixmier-Douady theorem (in its $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded version) states that the Morita isomorphism classes of Dixmier-Douady bundles $\mathcal{A} \to M$ are classified by elements

$$\mathrm{DD}(\mathcal{A}) \in H^3(M, \mathbb{Z}) \times H^1(M, \mathbb{Z}_2),$$

called the *Dixmier-Douady class* of $\mathcal{A}$. Write $\mathrm{DD}(\mathcal{A}) = (x, y)$. Letting $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ be the Dixmier-Douady-bundle obtained from $\mathcal{A}$ by forgetting the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading, the element x is the obstruction to the existence of an (ungraded) Morita trivialization $\hat{\mathcal{E}}\colon \mathbb{C} \dashrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{A}}$. The class y corresponds to the obstruction of introducing a compatible $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading on $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$. In more detail, given a loop $\gamma\colon S^1 \to M$ representing a homology class $[\gamma] \in H_1(M,\mathbb{Z})$, choose a Morita trivialization $(\gamma, \hat{\mathcal{F}})\colon \mathbb{C} \dashrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$. Then $y([\gamma]) = \pm 1$, depending on whether or not $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ admits a compatible $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading.

(a) The opposite Dixmier-Douady bundle $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}$ has class $\mathrm{DD}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}) = -\mathrm{DD}(\mathcal{A})$.

(b) If $\mathrm{DD}(\mathcal{A}_i) = (x_i, y_i),\ i = 1, 2$, are the classes corresponding to two Dixmier-Douady bundles $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$ over M, then [6, Proposition 2.3]

$$\mathrm{DD}(\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2) = (x_1 + x_2 + \tilde{\beta}(y_1 \cup y_2),\ y_1 + y_2)$$

where $y_1 \cup y_2 \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is the cup product, and $\tilde{\beta}\colon H^2(M,\mathbb{Z}_2) \to H^3(M,\mathbb{Z})$ is the Bockstein homomorphism.

3.4. **2-isomorphisms.**

Let $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$ be given Dixmier-Douady bundles over M.

Definition 3.2. A *2-isomorphism* between two Morita isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}'\colon \mathcal{A}_1 \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_2$$

is a continuous bundle isomorphism $\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E}'$, intertwining the norms, the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-gradings and the $\mathcal{A}_2 - \mathcal{A}_1$-bimodule structures.

Equivalently, a 2-isomorphism may be viewed as a trivialization of the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded Hermitian line bundle

$$L = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_2 - \mathcal{A}_1}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}') \tag{11}$$

given by the fiberwise bimodule homomorphisms. Any two Morita bimodules are related by (11) as $\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E} \otimes L$. It follows that the set of 2-isomorphism classes of Morita isomorphisms $\mathcal{A}_1 \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_2$ is either empty, or is a a principal homogeneous space (torsor) for the group $H^2(M,\mathbb{Z}) \times H^0(M, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded line bundles.

Example 3.3. Suppose the Morita isomorphisms $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}'$are connected by a continuous path $\mathcal{E}_s$ of Morita isomorphisms, with $\mathcal{E}_0 = \mathcal{E},\ \mathcal{E}_1 = \mathcal{E}'$. Then they are 2-isomorphic, in fact $L_s = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}_2 - \mathcal{A}_1}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}_s)$ is a path connecting (11) to the trivial line bundle.

Example 3.4. Suppose $\mathcal{A}_s,\ s \in [0,1]$ is a continuous family of Dixmier-Douady-bundles over M, i.e. their union defines a Dixmier-Douady bundle $\mathcal{A} \to [0,1] \times M$. Then there exists a continuous family of isomorphisms $\phi_s\colon \mathcal{A}_0 \to \mathcal{A}_s$, i.e. an isomorphism $\mathrm{pr}_2^* \mathcal{A}_0 \cong \mathcal{A}$ of bundles over $[0,1] \times M$. (The existence of such an isomorphism is clear in terms of the associated principal $\mathrm{PU}(\mathcal{H})$-bundles.) By composing with ϕ_0^{-1} if necessary, we may assume $\phi_0 = \mathrm{id}$. Any other such family of isomorphisms $\phi'_s\colon A_0 \to \mathcal{A}_s,\ \phi'_0 = \mathrm{id}$ is related to ϕ_s by a family L_s of line bundles, with L_0 the trivial line bundle. We conclude that the homotopy of Dixmier-Douady bundles $\mathcal{A}_s$ gives a distinguished 2-isomorphism class of isomorphisms $\mathcal{A}_0 \to \mathcal{A}_1$.

3.5. **Clifford algebra bundles.** Suppose that $V \to M$ is a Euclidean vector bundle of rank n. A Spin_c-*structure on* V is given by an orientation on V together with a lift of the structure group of V from $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ to $\mathrm{Spin}_c(n)$, where $n = \mathrm{rk}(V)$. According to Connes [10] and Plymen [23], this is equivalent to Definition 3.5 below in terms of Dixmier-Douady bundles.

Recall that if n is even, then the associated bundle of complex Clifford algebras $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(V)$ is a Dixmier-Douady bundle, modeled on $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathrm{End}(\wedge \mathbb{C}^{n/2})$. In this case, a Spin_c-structure may be defined to be a Morita trivialization $\mathcal{S}\colon \mathbb{C} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(V)$, with $\mathcal{S}$ is the associated *spinor bundle.* To include the case of odd rank, it is convenient to introduce

$$\widetilde{V} = V \oplus \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \widetilde{\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}}(V) := \mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(\widetilde{V}).$$

Definition 3.5. A Spin_c-*structure* on a Euclidean vector bundle V is a Morita trivialization

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}\colon \mathbb{C} \dashrightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}}(V)$$

The bundle $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}$ is called the corresponding *spinor bundle.* An isomorphism of two Spin_c-structures is a 2-isomorphism of the defining Morita trivializations.

If n is even, one recovers $\mathcal{S}$ by composing with the Morita isomorphism $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(V)$. The Dixmier-Douady class (x, y) of $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}}(V)$ is the obstruction to the existence of a Spin_c-structure: In fact x is the third integral Stiefel-Whitney class $\tilde{\beta}(w_2(V)) \in H^3(M, \mathbb{Z})$, while y is the first Stiefel-Whitney class $w_1(V) \in H^1(M, \mathbb{Z}_2)$, i.e. the obstruction to orientability of V.

Any two Spin_c-structures on V differ by a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded Hermitian line bundle, and an isomorphism of Spin_c-structures amounts to a trivialization of this line bundle. Observe that there is a Morita trivialization

$$\wedge \widetilde{V}^{\mathbb{C}}\colon \mathbb{C} \dashrightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}}(V \oplus V) = \widetilde{\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}}(V) \otimes \widetilde{\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}}(V)$$

defined by the complex structure on $\widetilde{V} \oplus \widetilde{V} \cong \widetilde{V} \otimes \mathbb{R}^2$. Hence, given a Spin_c-structure, we can define the Hermitian line bundle

$$K_{\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}}(V \oplus V)}(\widetilde{\mathcal{S}} \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{S}},\ \wedge \widetilde{V}^{\mathbb{C}}). \tag{12}$$

(If n is even, one may omit the $\sim$'s.) This is the *canonical line bundle* of the Spin_c-structure. If the Spin_c-structure on V is defined by a complex structure J, then the canonical bundle coincides with $\det(V_-) = \wedge^{n/2} V_-$, where $V_- \subset V^{\mathbb{C}}$ is the $-i$ eigenspace of J.

3.6. **Morita morphisms.** It is convenient to extend the notion of Morita isomorphisms of Dixmier-Douady bundles, allowing non-trivial maps on the base. A *Morita morphism*

$$(\Phi, \mathcal{E})\colon \mathcal{A}_1 \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_2 \tag{13}$$

of bundles $\mathcal{A}_i \to M_i,\ i = 1, 2$ is a continuous map $\Phi\colon M_1 \to M_2$ together with a Morita isomorphism $\mathcal{E}\colon \mathcal{A}_1 \dashrightarrow \Phi^*\mathcal{A}_2$. A given map Φ lifts to such a Morita morphism if and only if $\mathrm{DD}(\mathcal{A}_1) = \Phi^*\,\mathrm{DD}(\mathcal{A}_2)$. Composition of Morita morphisms is defined as $(\Phi', \mathcal{E}') \circ (\Phi, \mathcal{E}) = (\Phi' \circ \Phi,\quad \Phi^*\mathcal{E}' \circ \mathcal{E})$. If $\mathcal{E}\colon \mathbb{C} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a Morita trivialization, we can think of $\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{op}}\colon \mathcal{A} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as a Morita morphism covering the map $M \to \mathrm{pt}$. As mentioned in the introduction, a Morita morphism (13) such that Φ is *proper* induces a push-forward map in twisted K-homology.

3.7. **Equivariance.** The Dixmier-Douady theory generalizes to the G-equivariant setting, where G is a compact Lie group. G-equivariant Dixmier-Douady bundles over a G-space M are classified by $H^3_G(M, \mathbb{Z}) \times H^1_G(M, \mathbb{Z}_2)$. If M is a point, a G-equivariant Dixmier-Douady bundle $\mathcal{A} \to \mathrm{pt}$ is of the form $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})$ where $\mathcal{H}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded Hilbert space with an action of a central extension $\widehat{G}$ of G by $\mathrm{U}(1)$. (It is a well-known fact that $H^3_G(\mathrm{pt}, \mathbb{Z}) = H^3(BG, \mathbb{Z})$ classifies such central extensions.) The definition of Spin_c-structures in terms of Morita morphisms extends to the G-equivariant in the obvious way.

4. Families of skew-adjoint real Fredholm operators

In this Section, we will explain how a continuous family of skew-adjoint Fredholm operators on a bundle of real Hilbert spaces defines a Dixmier-Douady bundle. The construction is inspired by ideas in Atiyah-Segal [6], Carey-Mickelsson-Murray[9, 22], and Freed-Hopkins-Teleman [14, Section 3].

4.1. **Infinite dimensional Clifford algebras.** We briefly review the spin representation for infinite dimensional Clifford algebras. Excellent sources for this material are the book [24] by Plymen and Robinson and the article [5] by Araki.

Let $\mathcal{V}$ be an infinite dimensional real Hilbert space, and $\mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{C}}$ its complexification. The Hermitian inner product on $\mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{C}}$ will be denoted $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, and the complex conjugation map by $v \mapsto v^*$. Just as in the finite-dimensional case, one defines the Clifford algebra $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(\mathcal{V})$ as the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded unital complex algebra with odd generators $v \in \mathcal{V}$ and relations, $vv = \langle v, v \rangle$. The Clifford algebra carries a unique anti-linear anti-involution $x \mapsto x^*$ extending the complex conjugation on $\mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{C}}$, and a unique norm $||\cdot||$ satisfying the C^*-condition $||x^*x|| = ||x||^2$. Thus $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(\mathcal{V})$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded pre-C^*-algebra.

A *(unitary) module over* $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(\mathcal{V})$ is a complex $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded Hilbert space $\mathcal{E}$ together with a $*$-homomorphism $\varrho\colon \mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(\mathcal{V}) \to \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ preserving $\mathbb{Z}_2$-gradings. Here $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ is the $*$-algebra of bounded linear operators, and the condition on the grading means that $\varrho(v)$ acts as an odd operator for each $v \in \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{C}}$.

We will view $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V})$ (the bounded $\mathbb{R}$-linear operators on $\mathcal{V}$) as an $\mathbb{R}$-linear subspace of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{C}})$. Operators in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V})$ will be called *real.* A real skew-adjoint operator $J \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V})$ is called an *orthogonal complex structure* on $\mathcal{V}$ if it satisfies $J^2 = -I$. Note $J^* = -J = J^{-1}$, so that $J \in \mathrm{O}(\mathcal{V})$.

The orthogonal complex structure defines a decomposition $\mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathcal{V}_+ \oplus \mathcal{V}_-$ into maximal isotropic subspaces $\mathcal{V}_\pm = \ker(J \mp i) \subset \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Note $v \in \mathcal{V}_+ \Leftrightarrow v^* \in \mathcal{V}_-$. Define a Clifford action of $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(\mathcal{V})$ on $\wedge\mathcal{V}_+$ by the formula

$$\rho(v) = \sqrt{2}(\epsilon(v_+) + \iota(v_-)),$$

writing $v = v_+ + v_-$ with $v_\pm \in \mathcal{V}_\pm$. Here $\epsilon(v_+)$ denotes exterior multiplication by v_+, while the contraction $\iota(v_-)$ is defined as the unique derivation such that $\iota(v_-)w = \langle v_-^*, w\rangle$ for $w \in \mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{C}} \subset \wedge\mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Passing to the Hilbert space completion one obtains a unitary $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded Clifford module

$$\mathcal{S}_J = \overline{\wedge\mathcal{V}_+},$$

called the *spinor module* or *Fock representation* defined by J.

The equivalence problem for Fock representations was solved by Shale and Stinespring [32]. See also [24, Theorem 3.5.2].

Theorem 4.1 (Shale-Stinespring)**.** *The $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(\mathcal{V})$-modules $\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2$ defined by orthogonal complex structures J_1, J_2 are unitarily isomorphic (up to possible reversal of the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading) if and only if $J_1 - J_2 \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{HS}}(\mathcal{V})$. In this case, the unitary operator implementing the isomorphism is unique up to a scalar $z \in \mathrm{U}(1)$. The implementer has even or odd parity, according to the parity of $\frac{1}{2}\dim\ker(J_1 + J_2) \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Definition 4.2. [29, p. 193], [14] Two orthogonal complex structures J_1, J_2 on a real Hilbert space $\mathcal{V}$ are called *equivalent* (written $J_1 \sim J_2$) if their difference is Hilbert-Schmidt. An equivalence class of complex structures on $\mathcal{V}$ (resp. on $\mathcal{V} \oplus \mathbb{R}$) is called an even (resp. odd) *polarization* of $\mathcal{V}$.

By Theorem 4.1 the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded C^*-algebra $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{S}_J)$ depends only on the equivalence class of J, in the sense that there exists a canonical identification $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{S}_{J_1}) \equiv \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{S}_{J_2})$ whenever $J_1 \sim J_2$. That is, any polarization of $\mathcal{V}$ determines a Dixmier-Douady algebra.

4.2. **Skew-adjoint Fredholm operators.** Suppose D is a real skew-adjoint (possibly unbounded) Fredholm operator on $\mathcal{V}$, with dense domain $\mathrm{dom}(D) \subset \mathcal{V}$. In particular D has a finite-dimensional kernel, and 0 is an isolated point of the spectrum. Let J_D denote the real skew-adjoint operator,

$$J_D = i\,\mathrm{sign}(\tfrac{1}{i}D)$$

(using functional calculus for the self-adjoint operator $\frac{1}{i}D$). Thus J_D is an orthogonal complex structure on $\ker(D)^\perp$, and vanishes on $\ker(D)$. If $\ker(D) = 0$, we may also write $J_D = \frac{D}{|D|}$. The same definition of J_D also applies to complex skew-adjoint Fredholm operators. We have:

Proposition 4.3. *Let D be a (real or complex) skew-adjoint Fredholm operator, and Q a skew-adjoint Hilbert-Schmidt operator. Then $J_{D+Q} - J_D$ is Hilbert-Schmidt.*

The following simple proof was shown to us by Gian-Michele Graf.

Proof. Choose $\epsilon > 0$ so that the spectrum of $D, D+Q$ intersects the set $|z| < 2\epsilon$ only in $\{0\}$. Replacing D with $D + i\epsilon$ if necessary, and noting that $J_{D+i\epsilon} - J_D$ has finite rank, we may thus assume that 0 is not in the spectrum of D or of $D+Q$. One then has the following presentation of J_D as a Riemannian integral of the resolvent $R_z(D) = (D-z)^{-1}$,

$$J_D = -\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R_t(D)\mathrm{d}t,$$

convergent in the strong topology. Using a similar expression for J_{D+Q} and the second resolvent identity $R_t(D+Q) - R_t(D) = -R_t(D+Q)\,Q\,R_t(D)$, we obtain

$$J_{D+Q} - J_D = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R_t(D+Q)\,Q\,R_t(D)\ \mathrm{d}t.$$

Let $a > 0$ be such that the spectrum of $D,\ D+Q$ does not meet the disk $|z| \le a$. Then $||R_t(D)||,\ ||R_t(D+Q)|| \le (t^2+a^2)^{-1/2}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence

$$||R_t(D+Q)\,Q\,R_t(D)||_{HS} \le \frac{1}{t^2+a^2}||Q||_{HS},$$

using $||AB||_{HS} \le ||A||\,||B||_{HS}$. Since $\int (t^2+a^2)^{-1}\mathrm{d}t = \pi/a$, we obtain the estimate

$$||J_{D+Q} - J_D||_{HS} \le \frac{1}{a}||Q||_{HS}. \tag{14}$$ □

A real skew-adjoint Fredholm operator D on $\mathcal{V}$ will be called of *even* (resp. *odd*) *type* if $\ker(D)$ has even (resp. odd) dimension. As in [14, Section 3.1], we associate to any D of even type the even polarization defined by the orthogonal complex structures $J \in \mathrm{O}(\mathcal{V})$ such that $J - J_D$ is Hilbert-Schmidt. For D of odd type, we similarly obtain an odd polarization by viewing J_D as an operator on $\mathcal{V} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ (equal to 0 on $\mathbb{R}$).

Two skew-adjoint real Fredholm operators D_1, D_2 on $\mathcal{V}$ will be called *equivalent* (written $D_1 \sim D_2$) if they define the same polarization of $\mathcal{V}$, and hence the same Dixmier-Douady algebra $\mathcal{A}$. Equivalently, D_i have the same parity and $J_{D_1} - J_{D_2}$ is Hilbert-Schmidt. In particular, $D \sim D+Q$ whenever Q is a skew-adjoint Hilbert-Schmidt operator. In the even case, we can always choose Q so that $D+Q$ is invertible, while in the odd case we can choose such a Q after passing to $\mathcal{V} \oplus \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 4.4. The estimate (14) show that for fixed D (such that $D, D+Q$ have trivial kernel), the difference $J_{D+Q} - J_D \in \mathcal{L}_{HS}(\mathcal{X})$ depends continuously on Q in the Hilbert-Schmidt norm. On the other hand, it also depends continuously on D relative to the norm resolvent topology [27, page 284].

This follows from the integral representation of $J_{D+Q} - J_D$, together with resolvent identities such as

$$R_t(D') - R_t(D) = R_t(D')R_1(D')^{-1}\big(R_1(D') - R_1(D)\big)R_1(D)^{-1}R_t(D).$$

giving estimates $||R_t(D') - R_t(D)|| \leq (t^2 + a^2)^{-1}\,||R_1(D') - R_1(D)||$ for $a > 0$ such that the spectrum of D, D' does not meet the disk of radius a.

4.3. **Polarizations of bundles of real Hilbert spaces.** Let $\mathcal{V} \to M$ be a bundle of real Hilbert spaces, with typical fiber $\mathcal{X}$ and with structure group $\mathrm{O}(\mathcal{X})$ (using the norm topology). A polarization on $\mathcal{V}$ is a family of polarizations on $\mathcal{V}_m$, depending continuously on m. To make this precise, fix an orthogonal complex structure $J_0 \in \mathrm{O}(\mathcal{X})$, and let $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathcal{X})$ be the Banach space of bounded linear operators S such that $[S, J_0]$ is Hilbert-Schmidt, with norm $\|S\| + \|[S, J_0]\|_{HS}$. Define the *restricted orthogonal group* $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathcal{X}) = \mathrm{O}(\mathcal{X}) \cap \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathcal{X})$, with the subspace topology. It is a Banach Lie group, with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{o}_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathcal{X}) = \mathfrak{o}(\mathcal{X}) \cap \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathcal{X})$. The unitary group $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{X}) = \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{X}, J_0)$ relative to J_0, equipped with the norm topology is a Banach subgroup of $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathcal{X})$. For more details on the restricted orthogonal group, we refer to Araki [5] or Pressley-Segal[25].

Definition 4.5. An even *polarization* of the real Hilbert space bundle $\mathcal{V} \to M$ is a reduction of the structure group $\mathrm{O}(\mathcal{X})$ to the restricted orthogonal group $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathcal{X})$. An odd polarization of $\mathcal{V}$ is an even polarization of $\mathcal{V} \oplus \mathbb{R}$.

Thus, a polarization is described by a system of local trivializations of $\mathcal{V}$ whose transition functions are continuous maps into $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathcal{X})$. Any global complex structure on $\mathcal{V}$ defines a polarization, but not all polarizations arise in this way.

Proposition 4.6. *Suppose $\mathcal{V} \to M$ comes equipped with a polarization. For $m \in M$ let $\mathcal{A}_m$ be the Dixmier-Douady algebra defined by the polarization on $\mathcal{V}_m$. Then $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{m \in M} \mathcal{A}_m$ is a Dixmier-Douady bundle.*

Proof. We consider the case of an even polarization (for the odd case, replace $\mathcal{V}$ with $\mathcal{V} \oplus \mathbb{R}$). By assumption, the bundle $\mathcal{V}$ has a system of local trivializations with transition functions in $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathcal{X})$. Let $\mathcal{S}_0$ be the spinor module over $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(\mathcal{X})$ defined by J_0, and $\mathrm{PU}(\mathcal{S}_0)$ the projective unitary group with the strong operator topology. A version of the Shale-Stinespring theorem [24, Theorem 3.3.5] says that an orthogonal transformation is implemented as a unitary transformation of $\mathcal{S}_0$ if and only if it lies in $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathcal{X})$, and in this case the implementer is unique up to scalar. According to Araki [5, Theorem 6.10(7)], the resulting group homomorphism $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathcal{X}) \to \mathrm{PU}(\mathcal{S}_0)$ is continuous. That is, $\mathcal{A}$ admits the structure group $\mathrm{PU}(\mathcal{S}_0)$ with the strong topology. □

In terms of the principal $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathcal{X})$-bundle $\mathcal{P} \to M$ defined by the polarization of $\mathcal{V}$, the Dixmier-Douady bundle is an associated bundle

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{P} \times_{\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathcal{X})} \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{S}_0).$$

4.4. **Families of skew-adjoint Fredholm operators.** Suppose now that $D = \{D_m\}$ is a family of (possibly unbounded) real skew-adjoint Fredholm operators on $\mathcal{V}_m$, depending continuously on $m \in M$ in the norm resolvent sense [27, page 284]. That is, the bounded operators $(D_m - I)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}_m)$ define a continuous section of the bundle $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V})$ with the norm topology. The map $m \mapsto \dim\ker(D_m)$ is locally constant $\mod 2$. The family D will be called of even (resp. odd) type if all $\dim\ker(D_m)$ are even (resp. odd). Each D_m defines an even (resp. odd) polarization of $\mathcal{V}_m$, given by the complex structures on $\mathcal{V}_m$ or $\mathcal{V}_m \oplus \mathbb{R}$ whose difference with J_{D_m} is Hilbert-Schmidt.

Proposition 4.7. *Let $D = \{D_m\}$ be a family of (possibly unbounded) real skew-adjoint Fredholm operators on $\mathcal{V}_m$, depending continuously on $m \in M$ in the norm resolvent sense. Then the corresponding family of polarizations on $\mathcal{V}_m$ depends continuously on m in the sense of Definition 4.5. That is, D determines a polarization of $\mathcal{V}$.*

Proof. We assume that the family D is of even type. (The odd case is dealt with by adding a copy of $\mathbb{R}$.) We will show the existence of a system of local trivializations

$$\phi_\alpha \colon \mathcal{V}|_{U_\alpha} = U_\alpha \times \mathcal{X}$$

and skew-adjoint Hilbert-Schmidt perturbations $Q_\alpha \in \Gamma(\mathcal{L}_{HS}(\mathcal{V}|_{U_\alpha}))$ of $D|_{U_\alpha}$, continuous in the Hilbert-Schmidt norm[1], so that

(i) $\ker(D_m + Q_\alpha|_m) = 0$ for all $m \in U_\alpha$, and
(ii) $\phi_\alpha \circ J_{D+Q_\alpha} \circ \phi_\alpha^{-1} = J_0$.

The transition functions $\chi_{\alpha\beta} = \phi_\beta \circ \phi_\alpha^{-1} \colon U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \to \mathrm{O}(\mathcal{X})$ will then take values in $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathcal{X})$: Indeed, by Proposition 4.3 the difference $J_{D+Q_\beta} - J_{D+Q_\alpha}$ is Hilbert-Schmidt, and (using (14) and Remark 4.4) it is a continuous section of $\mathcal{L}_{HS}(\mathcal{V})$ over $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$. Conjugating by ϕ_α, and using (ii) it follows that

$$\chi_{\alpha\beta}^{-1} \circ J_0 \circ \chi_{\alpha\beta} - J_0 \;:\; U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \to \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}) \tag{15}$$

takes values in Hilbert-Schmidt operators, and is continuous in the Hilbert-Schmidt norm. Hence the $\chi_{\alpha\beta}$ are continuous functions into $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathcal{X})$.

It remains to construct the desired system of local trivializations. It suffices to construct such a trivialization near any given $m_0 \in M$. Pick a continuous family of skew-adjoint Hilbert-Schmidt operators Q so that $\ker(D_{m_0} + Q_{m_0}) = 0$. (We may even take Q of finite rank.) Hence $J_{D_{m_0}+Q_{m_0}}$ is a complex structure. Choose an isomorphism $\phi_{m_0} \colon \mathcal{V}_{m_0} \to \mathcal{X}$ intertwining $J_{D_{m_0}+Q_{m_0}}$ with J_0, and extend to a local trivialization $\phi \colon \mathcal{V}|_U \to U \times \mathcal{X}$ over a neighborhood U of m_0. We may assume that $\ker(D_m + Q_m) = 0$ for $m \in U$, defining complex structures $J_m = \phi_m \circ J_{D_m+Q_m} \circ \phi_m^{-1}$. By construction $J_{m_0} = J_0$, and hence $||J_m - J_0|| < 2$ after U is replaced by a smaller neighborhood if necessary. By [24, Theorem 3.2.4], Condition (ii) guarantees that

---

[1] The sub-bundle $\mathcal{L}_{HS}(\mathcal{V}) \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V})$ carries a topology, where a sections is continuous at $m \in M$ if its expression in a local trivialization of $\mathcal{V}$ near m is continuous. (This is independent of the choice of trivialization.)

$$g_m = (I - J_m J_0)\ |I - J_m J_0|^{-1}$$

gives a well-defined continuous map $g\colon U \to \mathrm{O}(\mathcal{X})$ with $J_m = g_m\, J_0\, g_m^{-1}$. Hence, replacing ϕ with $g \circ \phi$ we obtain a local trivialization satisfying (i), (ii). ☐

To summarize: A continuous family $D = \{D_m\}$ of skew-adjoint real Fredholm operators on $\mathcal{V}$ determines a polarization of $\mathcal{V}$. The fibers $\mathcal{P}_m$ of the associated principal $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathcal{X})$-bundle $\mathcal{P} \to M$ defining the polarization are given as the set of isomorphisms of real Hilbert spaces $\phi_m\colon \mathcal{V}_m \to \mathcal{X}$ such that $J_0 - \phi_m J_{D_m} \phi_m^{-1}$ is Hilbert-Schmidt. In turn, the polarization determines a Dixmier-Douady bundle $\mathcal{A} \to M$.

We list some elementary properties of this construction:

(a) Suppose $\mathcal{V}$ has finite rank. Then $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(\mathcal{V})$ if the rank is even, and $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(\mathcal{V} \oplus \mathbb{R})$ if the rank is odd. In both cases, $\mathcal{A}$ is canonically Morita isomorphic to $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}}(V)$.

(b) If $\ker(D) = 0$ everywhere, the complex structure $J = D|D|^{-1}$ gives a global a spinor module $\mathcal{S}$, defining a Morita trivialization

$$\mathcal{S}\colon \mathbb{C} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}.$$

(c) If $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}' \oplus \mathcal{V}''$ and $D = D' \oplus D''$, the corresponding Dixmier-Douady algebras satisfy $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{A}' \otimes \mathcal{A}''$, provided the kernels of D' or D'' are even-dimensional. If both D', D'' have odd-dimensional kernels, we obtain $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(\mathbb{R}^2) \cong \mathcal{A}' \otimes \mathcal{A}''$. In any case, $\mathcal{A}$ is canonically Morita isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}' \otimes \mathcal{A}''$.

(d) Combining the three items above, it follows that if $\mathcal{V}' = \ker(D)$ is a sub-bundle of $\mathcal{V}$, then there is a canonical Morita isomorphism

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}}(\mathcal{V}') \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}.$$

(e) Given a G-equivariant family of skew-adjoint Fredholm operators (with G a compact Lie group) one obtains a G-Dixmier-Douady bundle.

Suppose D_1, D_2 are two families of skew-adjoint Fredholm operators as in Proposition 4.7. We will call these families equivalent and write $D_1 \sim D_2$ if they define the same polarization of $\mathcal{V}$, and therefore the same Dixmier-Douady bundle $\mathcal{A} \to M$. We stress that different polarizations can induce *isomorphic* Dixmier-Douady bundles, however, the isomorphism is usually not canonical.

5. FROM DIRAC STRUCTURES TO DIXMIER-DOUADY BUNDLES

We will now use the constructions from the last Section to associate to every Dirac structure $(\mathbb{V}, E)$ over M a Dixmier-Douady bundle $\mathcal{A}_E \to M$, and to every strong Dirac morphism $(\Theta, \omega)\colon (\mathbb{V}, E) \dashrightarrow (\mathbb{V}', E')$ a Morita morphism. The construction is functorial 'up to 2-isomorphisms'.

5.1. The Dixmier-Douady algebra associated to a Dirac structure.

Let $(\mathbb{V}, E)$ be a Dirac structure over M. Pick a Euclidean metric on V, and let $\mathcal{V} \to M$ be the bundle of real Hilbert spaces with fibers

$$\mathcal{V}_m = L^2([0,1], V_m).$$

Let $A \in \Gamma(\mathrm{O}(V))$ be the orthogonal section corresponding to E, as in Section 2.4. Define a family $D_E = \{(D_E)_m,\ m \in M\}$ of operators on $\mathcal{V}$, where $(D_E)_m = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ with domain

$$\mathrm{dom}((D_E)_m) = \{f \in \mathcal{V}_m |\ \dot{f} \in \mathcal{V}_m,\ f(1) = -A_m\, f(0)\}. \tag{16}$$

The condition that the distributional derivative $\dot{f}$ lies in $L^2 \subset L^1$ implies that f is absolutely continuous; hence the boundary condition $f(1) = -A_m f(0)$ makes sense. The unbounded operators $(D_E)_m$ are closed and skew-adjoint (see e.g. [27, Chapter VIII]). By Proposition A.4 in the Appendix, the family D_E is continuous in the norm resolvent sense, hence it defines a Dixmier-Douady bundle $\mathcal{A}_E$ by Proposition 4.7.

The kernel of the operator $(D_E)_m$ is the intersection of $V_m \subset \mathcal{V}_m$ (embedded as constant functions) with the domain (16). That is,

$$\ker((D_E)_m) = \ker(A_m + I) = V_m \cap E_m$$

Proposition 5.1. *Suppose $E \cap V$ is a sub-bundle of V. Then there is a canonical Morita isomorphism*

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}}(E \cap V) \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_E.$$

In particular there are canonical Morita isomorphisms

$$\mathbb{C} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{V^*}, \quad \widetilde{\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_V.$$

Proof. Since $\ker(D_E) \cong E \cap V$ is then a sub-bundle of $\mathcal{V}$, the assertion follows from item (d) in Section 4.4. ☐

Remark 5.2. The definition of $\mathcal{A}_E$ depends on the choice of a Euclidean metric on V. However, since the space of Euclidean metrics is contractible, the bundles corresponding to two choices are related by a canonical 2-isomorphism class of isomorphisms. See Example 3.4.

Remark 5.3. The Dixmier-Douady class $\mathrm{DD}(\mathcal{A}_E) = (x, y)$ is an invariant of the Dirac structure $(\mathbb{V}, E)$. It may be constructed more directly as follows: Choose V' such that $V \oplus V' \cong X \times \mathbb{R}^N$ is trivial. Then $E \oplus (V')^*$ corresponds to a section of the orthogonal bundle, or equivalently to a map $f \colon X \to \mathrm{O}(N)$. The class $\mathrm{DD}(\mathcal{A}_E)$ is the pull-back under f of the class over $\mathrm{O}(N)$ whose restriction to each component is a generator of $H^3(\cdot, \mathbb{Z})$ respectively $H^1(\cdot, \mathbb{Z}_2)$. (See Proposition 6.2 below.) However, not all classes in $H^3(X, \mathbb{Z}) \times H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ are realized as such pull-backs.

The following Proposition shows that the polarization defined by D_E depends very much on the choice of E, while it is not affected by perturbations of D_E by skew-adjoint multiplication operators M_μ. Let $L^\infty([0,1], \mathfrak{o}(V))$

denote the Banach bundle with fibers $L^\infty([0,1],\mathfrak{o}(V_m))$. Its continuous sections μ are given in local trivialization of V by continuous maps to $L^\infty([0,1],\mathfrak{o}(X))$. Fiberwise multiplication by μ defines a continuous homomorphism

$$L^\infty([0,1],\mathfrak{o}(V)) \to \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}), \quad \mu \mapsto M_\mu.$$

Proposition 5.4. (a) *Let E, E' be two Lagrangian sub-bundles of V. Then $D_E \sim D_{E'}$ if and only if $E = E'$.*

(b) *Let $\mu \in \Gamma(L^\infty([0,1],\mathfrak{o}(V)))$, defining a continuous family of skew-adjoint multiplication operators $M_\mu \in \Gamma(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V}))$. For any Lagrangian sub-bundle $E \subset \mathbb{V}$ one has*

$$D_E + M_\mu \sim D_E.$$

The proof is given in the Appendix, see Propositions A.2 and A.3.

5.2. **Paths of Lagrangian sub-bundles.** Suppose E_s, $s \in [0,1]$ is a path of Lagrangian sub-bundles of V, and $A_s \in \Gamma(\mathrm{O}(V))$ the resulting path of orthogonal transformations. In Example 3.4, we remarked that there is a path of isomorphisms $\phi_s \colon \mathcal{A}_{E_0} \to \mathcal{A}_{E_s}$ with $\phi_0 = \mathrm{id}$, and the 2-isomorphism class of the resulting isomorphism $\phi_1 \colon \mathcal{A}_{E_0} \to \mathcal{A}_{E_1}$ does not depend on the choice of ϕ_s. It is also clear from the discussion in Example 3.4 that the isomorphism defined by a concatenation of two paths is 2-isomorphic to the composition of the isomorphisms defined by the two paths.

If the family E_s is differentiable, there is a distinguished choice of the isomorphism $\mathcal{A}_{E_0} \to \mathcal{A}_{E_1}$, as follows.

Proposition 5.5. *Suppose that $\mu_s := -\frac{\partial A_s}{\partial s} A_s^{-1}$ defines a continuous section of $L^\infty([0,1],\mathfrak{o}(V))$. Let $M_\gamma \in \Gamma(\mathrm{O}(\mathcal{V}))$ be the orthogonal transformation given fiberwise by pointwise multiplication by $\gamma_t = A_t A_0^{-1}$. Then*

$$M_\gamma \circ D_{E_0} \circ M_\gamma^{-1} = D_{E_1} + M_\mu \sim D_{E_1}.$$

Thus M_γ induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{A}_{E_0} \to \mathcal{A}_{E_1}$.

Proof. We have

$$f(1) = -A_0 f(0) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad (M_\gamma f)(1) = -A_1 (M_\gamma f)(0),$$

which shows $M_\gamma(\mathrm{dom}(D_{E_0})) = \mathrm{dom}(D_{E_1})$, and

$$A_t A_0^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(A_0 A_t^{-1} f) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mu_t f.$$

$\square$

Examples 5.6. (a) Suppose E corresponds to $A = \exp(a)$ with $a \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{o}(V))$. Then $A_s = \exp(sa)$ defines a path from $A_0 = I$ and $A_1 = A$. Hence we obtain an isomorphism $\mathcal{A}_{V^*} \to \mathcal{A}_E$. (The 2-isomorphism class of this isomorphism may depend on the choice of a.)

(b) Any 2-form $\omega \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 V^*)$ defines an orthogonal transformation of $\mathbb{V}$, given by $(v, \alpha) \mapsto (v, \alpha - \iota_v \omega)$. Let E^ω be the image of the Lagrangian subbundle $E \subset \mathbb{V}$ under this transformation. The corresponding orthogonal transformations A, A^ω are related by

$$A^\omega = (A - \omega(A - I))(I - \omega(A - I))^{-1},$$

where we identified the 2-form ω with the corresponding skew-adjoint map $\omega \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{o}(V))$. Replacing ω with $s\omega$, one obtains a path E_s from $E_0 = E$ to $E_1 = E^\omega$, defining an isomorphism $\mathcal{A}_E \to \mathcal{A}_{E^\omega}$.

5.3. **The Dirac-Dixmier-Douady functor.** Having assigned a Dixmier-Douady bundle to every Dirac structure on a Euclidean vector bundle V,

$$(\mathbb{V}, E) \quad \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{A}_E \tag{17}$$

we will now associate a Morita morphism to every strong Dirac morphism:

$$\Big((\Theta, \omega)\colon (\mathbb{V}, E) \dashrightarrow (\mathbb{V}', E')\Big) \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \Big((\Phi, \mathcal{E})\colon \mathcal{A}_E \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{E'}\Big). \tag{18}$$

Here $\Phi\colon M \to M'$ is underlying the map on the base. Theorem 5.7 below states that (18) is compatible with compositions 'up to 2-isomorphism'. Thus, if we take the morphisms for the category of Dixmier-Douady bundles to be the 2-isomorphism classes of Morita morphisms, and if we include the Euclidean metric on V as part of a Dirac structure, the construction (17), (18) defines a functor. We will call this the *Dirac-Dixmier-Douady functor*.

The Morita isomorphism $\mathcal{E}\colon \mathcal{A}_E \dashrightarrow \Phi^*\mathcal{A}_{E'} = \mathcal{A}_{\Phi^* E'}$ in (18) is defined as a composition

$$\mathcal{A}_E \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{E \oplus \Phi^*(V')^*} \cong \mathcal{A}_{V^* \oplus \Phi^* E'} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\Phi^* E'}, \tag{19}$$

where the middle map is induced by the path E_s from $E_0 = E \oplus \Phi^*(V')^*$ to $E_1 = V^* \oplus \Phi^* E'$, constructed as in Subsection 2.2. By composing with the Morita isomorphisms $\mathcal{A}_E \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{E \oplus \Phi^*(V')^*}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{V^* \oplus \Phi^* E'} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{E'}$ this gives the desired Morita morphism $\mathcal{A}_E \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{E'}$.

Theorem 5.7. *i) The composition of the Morita morphisms $\mathcal{A}_E \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{E'}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{E'} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{E''}$ defined by two strong Dirac morphisms (Θ, ω) and (Θ', ω') is 2-isomorphic to the Morita morphism $\mathcal{A}_E \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{E''}$ defined by $(\Theta', \omega') \circ (\Theta, \omega)$. ii) The Morita morphism $\mathcal{A}_E \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_E$ defined by the Dirac morphism $(\mathrm{id}_V, 0)\colon (\mathbb{V}, E) \dashrightarrow (\mathbb{V}, E)$ is 2-isomorphic to the identity.*

Proof. i) By pulling everything back to M, we may assume that $M = M' = M''$ and that Θ, Θ' induce the identity map on the base. As in Section 2.2, consider the three Lagrangian subbundles

$$E_{00} = E \oplus (V')^* \oplus W^*, \quad E_{10} = V^* \oplus E' \oplus W^*, \; E_{01} = V^* \oplus (V')^* \oplus E''$$

of $\mathbb{V} \oplus \mathbb{V}' \oplus \mathbb{V}''$. We have canonical Morita isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{A}_E \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{E_{00}}, \quad \mathcal{A}_{E'} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{E_{10}}, \quad \mathcal{A}_{E''} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{E_{01}}.$$

The morphism (19) may be equivalently described as a composition

$$\mathcal{A}_E \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{E_{00}} \cong \mathcal{A}_{E_{10}} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{E'},$$

since the path from E_{00} to E_{10} (constructed as in Subsection 2.2) is just the direct sum of W^* with the standard path from $E \oplus (V')^*$ to $V^* \oplus E'$. Similarly, one describes the morphism $\mathcal{A}_{E'} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{E''}$ as

$$\mathcal{A}_{E'} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{E_{10}} \cong \mathcal{A}_{E_{01}} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{E''}.$$

The composition of the Morita morphisms $\mathcal{A}_E \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{E'} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{E''}$ defined by (Θ, ω), (Θ', ω') is hence given by

$$\mathcal{A}_E \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{E_{10}} \cong \mathcal{A}_{E_{01}} \cong \mathcal{A}_{E_{01}} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{E''}.$$

The composition $\mathcal{A}_{E_{10}} \cong \mathcal{A}_{E_{01}} \cong \mathcal{A}_{E_{01}}$ is 2-isomorphic to the isomorphism defined by the concatenation of standard paths from E_{00} to E_{10} to E_{01}. As observed in Section 2.2 this concatenation is homotopic to the standard path from E_{00} to E_{01}, which defines the morphism $\mathcal{A}_E \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{E''}$ corresponding to $(\Theta', \omega') \circ (\Theta, \omega)$.

ii) We will show that the Morita morphism $\mathcal{A}_E \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{E_0} \cong \mathcal{A}_{E_1} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_E$ defined by $(\mathrm{id}_V, 0)$ is homotopic to the identity. Here $E_0 = E \oplus V^*$, $E_1 = V^* \oplus E$, and the isomorphism $\mathcal{A}_{E_0} \cong \mathcal{A}_{E_1}$ is defined by the standard path E_t connecting E_0, E_1. By definition, E_t is the forward image of E under the morphism $(j_t, 0)\colon \mathbb{V} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{V} \oplus \mathbb{V}$ where

$$j_t\colon V \to V \oplus V,\ y \mapsto ((1-t)y, ty).$$

It is convenient to replace j_t by the isometry,

$$\tilde{j}_t = (t^2 + (1-t)^2)^{-1/2}\, j_t.$$

This is homotopic to j_t (e.g. by linear interpolation), hence the resulting path $\tilde{E}_t$ defines the same 2-isomorphism class of isomorphisms $\mathcal{A}_{E_0} \to \mathcal{A}_{E_1}$.

The splitting of $V \oplus V$ into $V_t := \mathrm{ran}(\tilde{j}_t)$ and $V_t^\perp$ defines a corresponding orthogonal splitting of $\mathbb{V} \oplus \mathbb{V}$. The subspace $\tilde{E}_t$ is the direct sum of the intersections

$$\tilde{E}_t \cap \mathbb{V}_t^\perp = \mathrm{ann}(V_t) = (V_t^\perp)^*, \quad \tilde{E}_t \cap \mathbb{V}_t =: \tilde{E}_t'.$$

This defines a Morita isomorphism

$$\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{E}_t} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\tilde{E}_t'}$$

On the other hand, the isometric isomorphism $V \to V_t$ given by $\tilde{j}_t$ extends to an isomorphism $\mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{V}_t$, taking E to $\tilde{E}_t'$. Hence $\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{E}_t'} \cong \mathcal{A}_E$ canonically. In summary, we obtain a family of Morita isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{A}_E \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{E_0} \cong \mathcal{A}_{\tilde{E}_t} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\tilde{E}_t'} \cong \mathcal{A}_E.$$

For $t = 1$ this is the Morita isomorphism defined by $(\mathrm{id}_V, 0)$, while for $t = 0$ it is the identity map $\mathcal{A}_E \to \mathcal{A}_E$. □

5.4. **Symplectic vector bundles.** Suppose $V \to M$ is a vector bundle, equipped with a fiberwise symplectic form $\omega \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 V^*)$. Given a Euclidean metric B on V, the 2-form ω is identified with a skew-adjoint operator R_ω, defining a complex structure $J_\omega = R_\omega/|R_\omega|$ and a resulting spinor module $\mathcal{S}_\omega \colon \mathbb{C} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(V)$. (We may work with $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(V)$ rather than $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}}(V)$, since V has even rank.)

Proposition 5.8. *The Morita isomorphism*

$$S_\omega^{\mathrm{op}} \colon \mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

defined by the Spin_c*-structure* S_ω *is 2-isomorphic to the Morita isomorphism* $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_V$*, followed by the Morita isomorphism* $\mathcal{A}_V \dashrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ *defined by the strong Dirac morphism* $(0,\omega) \colon (\mathbb{V}, V) \dashrightarrow (0,0)$ *(cf. Example 2.1*(c)*).*

Proof. Consider the standard path for the Dirac morphism $(0,\omega) \colon (\mathbb{V}, E) \dashrightarrow (0,0)$,

$$E_t = \{((1-t)v, \alpha)|\ t\iota_v\omega + (1-t)\alpha = 0\} \subset \mathbb{V},$$

defining $\mathcal{A}_V = \mathcal{A}_{E_0} \cong \mathcal{A}_{E_1} = \mathcal{A}_{V^*} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. The path of orthogonal transformations defined by E_t is

$$A_t = \frac{tR_\omega - \frac{1}{2}(1-t)^2}{tR_\omega + \frac{1}{2}(1-t)^2}.$$

We will replace A_t with a more convenient path $\tilde{A}_t$,

$$\tilde{A}_t = -\exp(t\pi J_\omega).$$

We claim that this is homotopic to A_t with the same endpoints. Clearly $A_0 = -I = -\tilde{A}_0$ and $A_1 = I = \tilde{A}_1$. By considering the action on any eigenspace of R_ω, one checks that the spectrum of both $J_\omega A_t$ and $J_\omega \tilde{A}_t$ is contained in the half space $\mathrm{Re}(z) \geq 0$, for all $t \in [0,1]$. Hence

$$J_\omega A_t + I, \quad J_\omega \tilde{A}_t + I \tag{20}$$

are invertible for all $t \in [0,1]$. The Cayley transform $C \mapsto (C-I)/(C+I)$ gives a diffeomorphism from the set of all $C \in \mathrm{O}(V)$ such that $C + I$ is invertible onto the vector space $\mathfrak{o}(V)$. By using the linear interpolation of the Cayley transforms one obtains a homotopy between $J_\omega A_t$, $J_\omega \tilde{A}_t$, and hence of $A_t, \tilde{A}_t$.

By Proposition 5.5, the path $\tilde{A}_t$ defines an orthogonal transformation $M_\gamma \in \mathrm{O}(\mathcal{V})$, taking the complex structure J_0 for $E_0 = V^*$ to a complex structure $J_1 = M_\gamma \circ J_0 \circ M_\gamma^{-1}$ in the equivalence class defined by D_{E_1}. Consider the orthogonal decomposition $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}' \oplus \mathcal{V}''$ with $\mathcal{V}' = \ker(D_V) \cong V$. Let J'' be the complex structure on $\mathcal{V}''$ defined by D_V, and put $J' = J_\omega$. Since

$$M_\gamma \circ D_{V^*} \circ M_\gamma^{-1} = D_V + \pi J_\omega.$$

we see that $J_1 = J' \oplus J''$, hence $\mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{S}' \otimes \mathcal{S}'' = \mathcal{S}_\omega \otimes \mathcal{S}''$. The Morita isomorphism $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(V) \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_V$ is given by the bimodule $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{S}'' \otimes \mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(V)$.

Since $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(\mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{S}_\omega \otimes \mathcal{S}_\omega^{\mathrm{op}}$, it follows that that $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{S}'' \otimes \mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(V) = \mathcal{S}_1 \otimes \mathcal{S}_\omega^{\mathrm{op}}$, and

$$\mathcal{S}_1^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_V} \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{S}_\omega^{\mathrm{op}}.$$

□

6. The Dixmier-Douady bundle over the orthogonal group

6.1. **The bundle $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{O}(X)}$.** As a special case of our construction, let us consider the tautological Dirac structure $(\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{O}(X)}, E_{\mathrm{O}(X)})$ for a Euclidean vector space X. Let $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{O}(X)}$ be the corresponding Dixmier-Douady bundle; its restriction to $\mathrm{SO}(X)$ will be denoted $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{SO}(X)}$. The Dirac morphism $(\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{O}(X)}, E_{\mathrm{O}(X)}) \times (\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{O}(X)}, E_{\mathrm{O}(X)}) \dashrightarrow (\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{O}(X)}, E_{\mathrm{O}(X)})$ gives rise to a Morita morphism

$$\mathrm{pr}_1^* \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{O}(X)} \otimes \mathrm{pr}_2^* \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{O}(X)} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{O}(X)},$$

which is associative up to 2-isomorphisms.

Proposition 6.1. (a) *There is a canonical Morita morphism* $\mathbb{C} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{O}(X)}$ *with underlying map the inclusion of the group unit,* $\{I\} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{O}(X)$.

(b) *For any orthogonal decomposition* $X = X' \oplus X''$, *there is a canonical Morita morphism*

$$\mathrm{pr}_1^* \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{O}(X')} \otimes \mathrm{pr}_2^* \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{O}(X'')} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{O}(X)}$$

with underlying map the inclusion $\mathrm{O}(X') \times \mathrm{O}(X'') \hookrightarrow \mathrm{O}(X)$.

Proof. The Proposition follows since the restriction of $E_{\mathrm{O}(X)}$ to I is X^*, while the restriction to $\mathrm{O}(X') \times \mathrm{O}(X'')$ is $E_{\mathrm{O}(X')} \times E_{\mathrm{O}(X'')}$. □

The action of $\mathrm{O}(X)$ by conjugation lifts to an action on the bundle $\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{O}(X)}$, preserving the Dirac structure $E_{\mathrm{O}(X)}$. Hence $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{O}(X)}$ is an $\mathrm{O}(X)$-equivariant Dixmier-Douady bundle.

The construction of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{O}(X)}$, using the family of boundary conditions given by orthogonal transformations, is closely related to a construction given by Atiyah-Segal in [6], who also identify the resulting Dixmier-Douady class. The result is most nicely stated for the restriction to $\mathrm{SO}(X)$; for the general case use an inclusion $\mathrm{O}(X) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SO}(X \oplus \mathbb{R})$.

Proposition 6.2. [6, Proposition 5.4] *Let* $(x, y) = \mathrm{DD}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{SO}(X)})$ *be the Dixmier-Douady class.*

(a) *For* $\dim X \geq 3,\ \dim X \neq 4$ *the class* x *generates* $H^3(\mathrm{SO}(X), \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$.

(b) *For* $\dim X \geq 2$ *the class* y *generates* $H^1(\mathrm{SO}(X), \mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Atiyah-Segal's proof uses an alternative construction $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{SO}(X)}$ in terms of loop groups (see below). Another argument is sketched in Appendix B.

6.2. **Pull-back under exponential map.** Let $(\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{o}(X)},\, E_{\mathfrak{o}(X)})$ be as in Section 2.7, and let $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{o}(X)}$ be the resulting $\mathrm{O}(X)$-equivariant Dixmier-Douady bundle. Since $E_{\mathfrak{o}}(X)|_a = \mathrm{Gr}_a$, its intersection with $X \subset \mathbb{X}$ is trivial, and so $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{o}(X)}$ is Morita trivial. Recall the Dirac morphism $(\Pi, -\varpi)\colon (\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{o}(X)},\, E_{\mathfrak{o}(X)}) \dashrightarrow (\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{O}(X)}, E_{\mathrm{O}(X)})$, with underlying map $\exp\colon \mathfrak{o}(X) \to \mathrm{O}(X)$. We had shown that it is a strong Dirac morphism over the subset $\mathfrak{o}(X)_\natural$ where the exponential map has maximal rank, or equivalently where $\Pi_a = (I - e^{-a})/a$ is invertible. One hence obtains a Morita morphism

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{o}(X)}|_{\mathfrak{o}(X)_\natural} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{O}(X)}.$$

Together with the Morita trivialization $\mathbb{C} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{o}(X)}$, this gives a Morita trivialization of $\exp^* \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{O}(X)}$ over $\mathfrak{o}(X)_\natural$.

On the other hand, $\exp^* E_{\mathrm{O}(X)}$ is the Lagrangian sub-bundle of $\mathfrak{o}(X) \times \mathbb{X}$ defined by the map $a \mapsto \exp(a) \in \mathrm{O}(X)$. Replacing $\exp(a)$ with $\exp(sa)$, one obtains a homotopy E_s between $E_1 = \exp^* E_{\mathrm{O}(X)}$ and $E_0 = X^*$, hence another Morita trivialization of $\exp^* \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{O}(X)}$ (defined over all of $\mathfrak{o}(X)$). Let $L \to \mathfrak{o}(X)_\natural$ be the $\mathrm{O}(X)$-equivariant line bundle relating these two Morita trivializations.

Proposition 6.3. *Over the component containing* 0*, the line bundle* $L \to \mathfrak{o}(X)_\natural$ *is* $\mathrm{O}(X)$*-equivariantly trivial. In other words, the two Morita trivializations of* $\exp^* \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{O}(X)}|_\natural$ *are 2-isomorphic over the component of* $\mathfrak{o}(X)_\natural$ *containing* 0.

Proof. The linear retraction of $\mathfrak{o}(X)$ onto the origin preserves the component of $\mathfrak{o}(X)_\natural$ containing 0. Hence it suffices to show that the $\mathrm{O}(X)$-action on the fiber of L at 0 is trivial. But this is immediate since both Morita trivializations of $\exp^* \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{O}(X)}$ at $0 \in \mathfrak{o}(X)_\natural$ coincide with the obvious Morita trivialization of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{O}(X)}|_e$. ☐

6.3. **Construction via loop groups.** The bundle $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{SO}(X)}$ has the following description in terms of loop groups (cf. [6]). Fix a Sobolev level $s > 1/2$, and let $\mathcal{P}\,\mathrm{SO}(X)$ denote the Banach manifold of paths $\gamma\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{SO}(X)$ of Sobolev class $s + 1/2$ such that $\pi(\gamma) := \gamma(t+1)\gamma(t)^{-1}$ is constant. (Recall that for manifolds Q, P, the maps $Q \to P$ of Sobolev class greater than $k + \dim Q/2$ are of class C^k.) The map

$$\pi\colon \mathcal{P}\,\mathrm{SO}(X) \to \mathrm{SO}(X),\ \gamma \mapsto \pi(\gamma)$$

is an $\mathrm{SO}(X)$-equivariant principal bundle, with structure group the loop group $L\,\mathrm{SO}(X) = \pi^{-1}(e)$. Here elements of $\mathrm{SO}(X)$ acts by multiplication from the left, while loops $\lambda \in L\,\mathrm{SO}(X)$ acts by $\gamma \mapsto \gamma\lambda^{-1}$. Let $\mathcal{X} = L^2([0,1], X)$ carry the complex structure J_0 defined by $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ with anti-periodic boundary conditions, and let $\mathcal{S}_0$ be the resulting spinor module. The action of the group $L\,\mathrm{SO}(X)$ on $\mathcal{X}$ preserves the polarization defined by J_0, and defines a continuous map $L\,\mathrm{SO}(X) \to \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathcal{X})$. Using its composition with the map $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{res}}(\mathcal{X}) \to \mathrm{PU}(\mathcal{S}_0)$, we have:

Proposition 6.4. *The Dixmier-Douady bundle* $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{SO}(X)}$ *is an associated bundle* $\mathcal{P}\,\mathrm{SO}(X)\times_{L\,\mathrm{SO}(X)}\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{S}_0)$.

Proof. Given $\gamma\in\mathcal{P}\,\mathrm{SO}(X)$, consider the operator M_γ on $\mathcal{X}=L^2([0,1],X)$ of pointwise multiplication by γ. As in Proposition 5.5, we see that M_γ takes the boundary conditions $f(1)=-f(0)$ to $(M_\gamma f)(1)=-\pi(\gamma)(M_\gamma f)(0)$, and induces an isomorphism $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{S}_0)=\mathcal{A}_I\to\mathcal{A}_{\pi(\gamma)}$. This defines a map

$$\mathcal{P}\,\mathrm{SO}(X)\times\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{S}_0)\to\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{SO}(X)}$$

with underlying map $\pi\colon\mathcal{P}\,\mathrm{SO}(X)\to\mathrm{SO}(X)$. This map is equivariant relative to the action of $L\,\mathrm{SO}(X)$, and descends to the desired bundle isomorphism. $\square$

In particular $\pi^*\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{SO}(X)}=\mathcal{P}\,\mathrm{SO}(X)\times\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{S}_0)$ has a Morita trivialization defined by the trivial bundle $\mathcal{E}_0=\mathcal{P}\,\mathrm{SO}(X)\times\mathcal{S}_0$. The Morita trivialization is $\widehat{L\,\mathrm{SO}}(X)\times\mathrm{SO}(X)$-equivariant, using the central extension of the loop group obtained by pull-back of the central extension $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{S}_0)\to\mathrm{PU}(\mathcal{S}_0)$.

7. q-Hamiltonian G-spaces

In this Section, we will apply the correspondence between Dirac structures and Dixmier-Douady bundles to the theory of group-valued moment maps [2]. Most results will be immediate consequences of the functoriality properties of this correspondence. Throughout this Section, G denotes a Lie group, with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. We denote by $\xi^L,\xi^R\in\mathfrak{X}(G)$ the left,right invariant vector fields defined by the Lie algebra element $\xi\in\mathfrak{g}$, and by $\theta^L,\theta^R\in\Omega^1(G,\mathfrak{g})$ the Maurer-Cartan forms, defined by $\iota(\xi^L)\theta^L=\iota(\xi^R)\theta^R=\xi$. For sake of comparison, we begin with a quick review of ordinary Hamiltonian G-spaces from the Dirac geometry perspective.

7.1. **Hamiltonian G-spaces.**

A Hamiltonian G-space is a triple (M,ω_0,Φ_0) consisting of a G-manifold M, an invariant 2-form ω_0 and an equivariant *moment map* $\Phi_0\colon M\to\mathfrak{g}^*$ such that

(i) $\mathrm{d}\omega_0=0$,
(ii) $\iota(\xi_M)\omega_0=-\mathrm{d}\langle\Phi_0,\xi\rangle,\quad \xi\in\mathfrak{g}$,
(iii) $\ker(\omega_0)=0$.

Conditions (ii) and (iii) may be rephrased in terms of Dirac morphisms. Let $E_{\mathfrak{g}^*}\subset\mathbb{T}\mathfrak{g}^*$ be the Dirac structure spanned by the sections

$$e_0(\xi)=(\xi^\sharp,\ \langle\mathrm{d}\mu,\xi\rangle),\quad \xi\in\mathfrak{g}.$$

Here $\xi^\sharp\in\mathfrak{X}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ is the vector field generating the co-adjoint action (i.e. $\xi^\sharp|_\mu=(\mathrm{ad}_\xi)^*\mu$), and $\langle\mathrm{d}\mu,\xi\rangle\in\Omega^1(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ denotes the 1-form defined by ξ. Then Conditions (ii), (iii) hold if and only if

$$(\mathrm{d}\Phi_0,\omega_0)\colon(\mathbb{T}M,TM)\dashrightarrow(\mathbb{T}\mathfrak{g}^*,E_{\mathfrak{g}^*})$$

is a strong Dirac morphism. Using the Morita isomorphism $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}}(TM) \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{TM}$, and putting $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{Spin}}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} := \mathcal{A}_{E_{\mathfrak{g}^*}}$ we obtain a G-equivariant Morita morphism

$$(\Phi_0, \mathcal{E}_0)\colon \widetilde{\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}}(TM) \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{Spin}}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}.$$

Since $E_{\mathfrak{g}^*} \cap T\mathfrak{g}^* = 0$, the zero Dirac morphism $(T\mathfrak{g}^*, E_{\mathfrak{g}^*}) \dashrightarrow (0,0)$ is strong, hence it defines a Morita trivialization $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{Spin}}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. From Proposition 5.8, we see that the resulting equivariant Spin_c-structure $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}}(TM) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is 2-isomorphic to the Spin_c-structure defined by the symplectic form ω_0. (Since symplectic manifolds are even-dimensional, we may work with $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(TM)$ in place of $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}}(TM)$.)

7.2. **q-Hamiltonian G-spaces.** An $\mathrm{Ad}(G)$-invariant inner product B on $\mathfrak{g}$ defines a closed bi-invariant 3-form

$$\eta = \frac{1}{12} B(\theta^L, [\theta^L, \theta^L]) \in \Omega^3(G).$$

A q-Hamiltonian G-manifold [2] is a G-manifold M, together with an an invariant 2-form ω, and an equivariant *moment map* $\Phi\colon M \to G$ such that

(i) $\mathrm{d}\omega = -\Phi^*\eta$,
(ii) $\iota(\xi_M)\omega = -\frac{1}{2}\Phi^* B((\theta^L + \theta^R), \xi)$
(iii) $\ker(\omega) \cap \ker(\mathrm{d}\Phi) = 0$ everywhere.

The simplest examples of q-Hamiltonian G-spaces are the conjugacy classes in G, with moment map the inclusion $\Phi\colon \mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow G$. Again, the definition can be re-phrased in terms of Dirac structures. Let $E_G \subset \mathbb{T}G$ be the Lagrangian sub-bundle spanned by the sections

$$e(\xi) = \big(\xi^\sharp,\ \tfrac{1}{2} B(\theta^L + \theta^R,\ \xi)\big), \quad \xi \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Here $\xi^\sharp = \xi^L - \xi^R \in \mathfrak{X}(G)$ is the vector field generating the conjugation action. E_G is the *Cartan-Dirac structure* introduced by Alekseev, Ševera and Strobl [7, 30]. As shown by Bursztyn-Crainic [7], Conditions (ii) and (iii) above hold if and only if

$$(\mathrm{d}\Phi, \omega)\colon (\mathbb{T}M, TM) \dashrightarrow (\mathbb{T}G, E_G)$$

is a strong Dirac morphism. Let

$$\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{Spin}}_G := \mathcal{A}_{E_G}$$

be the G-equivariant Dixmier-Douady bundle over G defined by the Cartan-Dirac structure. The strong Dirac morphism $(\mathrm{d}\Phi, \omega)$ determines a Morita morphism $\mathcal{A}_{TM} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{Spin}}_G$. Since $\mathcal{A}_{TM}$ is naturally Morita isomorphic to $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}}(TM)$ we obtain a distinguished 2-isomorphism class of G-equivariant Morita morphisms

$$(\Phi, \mathcal{E})\colon \widetilde{\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}}(TM) \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{Spin}}_G. \tag{21}$$

Definition 7.1. The Morita morphism (21) is called the *canonical twisted* Spin_c*-structure* for the q-Hamiltonian G-space (M, ω, Φ).

Remarks 7.2. (a) Equation (21) generalizes the usual Spin_c-structure for a symplectic manifold. Indeed, if $G=\{e\}$ we have $\mathcal{A}_G^{\mathrm{Spin}}=\mathbb{C}$, and a q-Hamiltonian G-space is just a symplectic manifold. Proposition 5.8 shows that the composition $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}}(TM) \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{TM} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ in that case is 2-isomorphic to the Morita trivialization defined by an ω-compatible almost complex structure.

(b) The tensor product $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}}(TM)\otimes\widetilde{\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}}(TM)=\widetilde{\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}}(TM\oplus TM)$ is canonically Morita trivial (see Section 3.5). Hence, the twisted Spin_c-structure on a q-Hamiltonian G-space defines a G-equivariant Morita trivialization

$$\mathbb{C} \dashrightarrow \Phi^*(\mathcal{A}_G^{\mathrm{Spin}})^{\otimes 2}. \tag{22}$$

One may think of (22) as the counterpart to the canonical line bundle. Indeed, for $G=\{e\}$, (22) is a Morita isomorphism from the trivial bundle over M to itself. It is thus given by a Hermitian line bundle, and from (a) above one sees that this is the *canonical line bundle* associated to the Spin_c-structure of (M,ω).

Remark 7.3. In terms of the trivialization $TG=G\times\mathfrak{g}$ given by the left-invariant vector fields ξ^L, the Cartan-Dirac structure $(\mathbb{T}G,E_G)$ is just the pull-back of the tautological Dirac structure $(\mathbb{V}_{\mathrm{O}(\mathfrak{g})},E_{\mathrm{O}(\mathfrak{g})})$ under the adjoint action $\mathrm{Ad}\colon G\to\mathrm{O}(\mathfrak{g})$. Similarly, $\mathcal{A}_G^{\mathrm{Spin}}$ is simply the pull-back of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{O}(\mathfrak{g})}\to\mathrm{O}(\mathfrak{g})$ under the map $\mathrm{Ad}\colon G\to\mathrm{O}(\mathfrak{g})$.

In many cases q-Hamiltonian G-spaces have even dimension, so that we may use the usual Clifford algebra bundle $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(TM)$ in (21):

Proposition 7.4. *Let (M,ω,Φ) be a connected q-Hamiltonian G-manifold. Then $\dim M$ is even if and only if $\mathrm{Ad}_{\Phi(m)}\in\mathrm{SO}(\mathfrak{g})$ for all $m\in M$. In particular, this is the case if G is connected.*

Proof. This is proved in [4], but follows much more easily from the following Dirac-geometric argument. The parity of the Lagrangian sub-bundle $TM\subset\mathbb{T}M$ is given by $(-1)^{\dim M}=\pm1$. By Proposition 2.2, the parity is preserved under strong Dirac morphisms. Hence it coincides with the parity of E_G over $\Phi(M)$, and by Remark 7.3 this is the same as the parity of the tautological Dirac structure $E_{\mathrm{O}(\mathfrak{g})}$ over $\mathrm{Ad}(\Phi(M))\subset\mathrm{O}(\mathfrak{g})$. The latter is given by $\det(\mathrm{Ad}_\Phi)=\pm1$. This shows $\det(\mathrm{Ad}_\Phi)=(-1)^{\dim M}$. □

As a noteworthy special case, we have:

Corollary 7.5. *A conjugacy class $\mathcal{C}=\mathrm{Ad}(G)g\subset G$ of a compact Lie group G is even-dimensional if and only if $\det(\mathrm{Ad}_g)=1$.*

7.3. **Stiefel-Whitney classes.**

The existence of a Spin_c-structure on a symplectic manifold implies the vanishing of the third integral Stiefel-Whitney class $W^3(M)=\tilde\beta(w_2(M))$, while of course $w_1(M)=0$ by orientability. For q-Hamiltonian spaces we have the following statement:

Corollary 7.6. *For any q-Hamiltonian G-space,*

$$W^3(M) \equiv \tilde{\beta}(w_2(M)) = \Phi^* x, \quad w_1(M) = \Phi^* y.$$

where $(x, y) \in H^3(G, \mathbb{Z}) \times H^1(G, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ *is the Dixmier-Douady class of* $\mathcal{A}_G^{\mathrm{Spin}}$. *A similar statement holds for the G-equivariant Stiefel-Whitney classes.*

Remarks 7.7.

(a) The result gives in particular a description of $w_1(\mathcal{C})$ and $\tilde{\beta}(w_2(\mathcal{C}))$ for all conjugacy classes $\mathcal{C} \subset G$ of a compact Lie group.

(b) If G is simply connected, so that $H^1(G, \mathbb{Z}_2) = 0$, it follows that $w_1(M) = 0$. Hence q-Hamiltonian spaces for simply connected groups are orientable. In fact, there is a canonical orientation [4].

(c) Suppose G is simple and simply connected. Then x is $\mathsf{h}^\vee$ times the generator of $H^3(G, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$, where $\mathsf{h}^\vee$ is the dual Coxeter number of G. This follows from Remark 7.3, since

$$\mathrm{Ad}^* \colon H^3(\mathrm{SO}(\mathfrak{g}), \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} \to H^3(G, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$$

is multiplication by $\mathsf{h}^\vee$. We see that a conjugacy class $\mathcal{C}$ of G admits a Spin_c-structure if and only if the pull-back of the generator of $H^3(G, \mathbb{Z})$ is $\mathsf{h}^\vee$-torsion. Examples of conjugacy classes not admitting a Spin_c-structure may be found in [20].

7.4. **Fusion.** Let $\mathrm{mult} \colon G \times G \to G$ be the group multiplication, and denote by $\sigma \in \Omega^2(G \times G)$ the 2-form

$$\sigma = -\frac{1}{2} B(\mathrm{pr}_1^* \theta^L, \, \mathrm{pr}_2^* \theta^R) \tag{23}$$

where $\mathrm{pr}_j \colon G \times G \to G$ are the two projections. By [1, Theorem 3.9] the pair $(\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{mult}, \sigma)$ define a strong G-equivariant Dirac morphism

$$(\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{mult}, \sigma) \colon (\mathbb{T}G, E_G) \times (\mathbb{T}G, E_G) \dashrightarrow (\mathbb{T}G, E_G).$$

This can also be seen using Remark 7.3 and Proposition 2.5, since left trivialization of TG intertwines $\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{mult}$ with the map Σ from (6), taking (23) to the 2-form σ on $V_{\mathrm{O}(\mathfrak{g})} \times V_{\mathrm{O}(\mathfrak{g})}$. It induces a Morita morphism

$$(\mathrm{mult}, \mathcal{E}) \colon \ \mathrm{pr}_1^* \mathcal{A}_G^{\mathrm{Spin}} \otimes \mathrm{pr}_2^* \mathcal{A}_G^{\mathrm{Spin}} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_G^{\mathrm{Spin}}. \tag{24}$$

If (M, ω, Φ) is a q-Hamiltonian $G \times G$-space, then M with diagonal G-action, 2-form $\omega_{\mathrm{fus}} = \omega + \Phi^* \sigma$, and moment map $\Phi_{\mathrm{fus}} = \mathrm{mult} \circ \Phi \colon M \to G$ defines a q-Hamiltonian G-space

$$(M, \omega_{\mathrm{fus}}, \Phi_{\mathrm{fus}}). \tag{25}$$

The space (25) is called the *fusion* of (M, ω, Φ). Conditions (ii), (iii) hold since

$$(\mathrm{d}\Phi_{\mathrm{fus}}, \omega_{\mathrm{fus}}) = (\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{mult}, \sigma) \circ (\mathrm{d}\Phi, \omega) \tag{26}$$

is a composition of strong Dirac morphisms, while (i) follows from $\mathrm{d}\sigma = \mathrm{mult}^* \eta - \mathrm{pr}_1^* \eta - \mathrm{pr}_2^* \eta$. The Dirac-Dixmier-Douady functor (Theorem 5.7) shows that the twisted Spin_c-structures are compatible with fusion, in the following sense:

Proposition 7.8. *The Morita morphism $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}}(TM) \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_G^{\mathrm{Spin}}$ for the q-Hamiltonian G-space $(M, \omega_{\mathrm{fus}}, \Phi_{\mathrm{fus}})$ is equivariantly 2-isomorphic to the composition of Morita morphisms*

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}}(TM) \dashrightarrow \mathrm{pr}_1^* \mathcal{A}_G^{\mathrm{Spin}} \otimes \mathrm{pr}_2^* \mathcal{A}_G^{\mathrm{Spin}} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_G^{\mathrm{Spin}}$$

defined by the twisted Spin_c*-structure for (M, ω, Φ), followed by* (24)*.*

7.5. **Exponentials.** Let $\exp\colon \mathfrak{g} \to G$ be the exponential map. The pull-back $\exp^* \eta$ is equivariantly exact, and admits a canonical primitive $\varpi \in \Omega^2(\mathfrak{g})$ defined by the homotopy operator for the linear retraction onto the origin.

Remark 7.9. Explicit calculation shows [3] that ϖ is the pull-back of the 2-form (denoted by the same letter) $\varpi \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 V^*_{\mathfrak{o}(\mathfrak{g})}) \cong C^\infty(\mathfrak{o}(\mathfrak{g}), \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^*)$ from Section 2.7 under the adjoint map, $\mathrm{ad}\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{o}(\mathfrak{g})$. Using the inner product to identify $\mathfrak{g}^* \cong \mathfrak{g}$, the Dirac structure $E_{\mathfrak{g}^*} \equiv E_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the pull-back of the Dirac structure $E_{\mathfrak{o}(\mathfrak{g})}$ by the map $\mathrm{ad}\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{o}(\mathfrak{g})$.

The differential of the exponential map together with the 2-form ϖ define a Dirac morphism

$$(\mathrm{d}\exp, -\varpi)\colon (\mathbb{T}\mathfrak{g},\, E_{\mathfrak{g}}) \dashrightarrow (\mathbb{T}G,\, E_G)$$

which is a strong Dirac morphism over the open subset $\mathfrak{g}_\natural$ where exp has maximal rank. See [1, Proposition 3.12], or Proposition 2.6 above.

Let (M, Φ_0, ω_0) be a Hamiltonian G-space with $\Phi_0(M) \subset \mathfrak{g}_\natural$, and $\Phi = \exp \Phi_0,\ \omega = \omega_0 - \Phi_0^* \varpi$. Then $(\mathrm{d}\Phi, \omega) = (\mathrm{d}\exp, -\varpi) \circ (\mathrm{d}\Phi_0, \omega_0)$ is a strong Dirac morphism, hence (M, ω, Φ) is a q-Hamiltonian G-space. It is called the *exponential* of the Hamiltonian G-space (M, ω_0, Φ_0).

The canonical twisted Spin_c-structure for (M, ω, Φ) can be composed with the Morita trivialization $\Phi^* \mathcal{A}_G^{\mathrm{Spin}} = \Phi_0^* \exp^* \mathcal{A}_G^{\mathrm{Spin}} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by the Morita trivialization of $\exp^* \mathcal{A}_G^{\mathrm{Spin}}$, to produce an ordinary equivariant Spin_c-structure. On the other hand, we have the equivariant Spin_c-structure defined by the symplectic form ω_0.

Proposition 7.10. *Suppose (M, ω_0, Φ_0) is a Hamiltonian G-space, such that Φ_0 takes values in the zero component of $\mathfrak{g}_\natural \subset \mathfrak{g}$. Let (M, ω, Φ) be its exponential. Then the composition*[2]

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}}(TM) \dashrightarrow \Phi^* \mathcal{A}_G^{\mathrm{Spin}} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

is 2-isomorphic to the Morita morphism $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}}(TM) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by the canonical Spin_c*-structure for ω_0.*

Proof. Proposition 6.3 shows that over the zero component of $\mathfrak{g}_\natural$, the Morita trivialization of $\exp^* \mathcal{A}_G^{\mathrm{Spin}}$ is 2-isomorphic to the composition of the Morita isomorphism $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{Spin}} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_G^{\mathrm{Spin}}$ induced by $(\mathrm{d}\exp, -\varpi)$, with the Morita trivialization of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{Spin}}$ (induced by the Dirac morphism $(T\mathfrak{g}^*, E_{\mathfrak{g}}) \dashrightarrow (0,0)$). The result now follows from Theorem 5.7. □

---

[2] We could also write $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(TM)$ in place of $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}}(TM)$ since $\dim M$ is even.

7.6. **Reduction.** In this Section, we will show that the canonical twisted Spin_c-structure is well-behaved under reduction. Let (M, ω, Φ) be a q-Hamiltonian $K \times G$-space. Thus Φ has two components Φ_K, Φ_G, taking values in K, G respectively. Suppose $e \in G$ a regular value of Φ_G, so that $Z = \Phi_G^{-1}(e)$ is a smooth $K \times G$-invariant submanifold. Let $\iota\colon Z \to M$ be the inclusion. The moment map condition shows that the G-action is locally free on Z, and that $\iota^*\omega$ is G-basic. Let us assume for simplicity that the G-action on Z is actually free. Then

$$M_{\mathrm{red}} = Z/G$$

is a smooth K-manifold, the G-basic 2-form $\iota^*\omega$ descends to a 2-form ω_{red} on M_{red}, and the restriction $\Phi|_Z$ descends to a smooth K-equivariant map $\Phi_{\mathrm{red}}\colon M_{\mathrm{red}} \to K$.

Proposition 7.11. [2] *The triple* $(M_{\mathrm{red}}, \omega_{\mathrm{red}}, \Phi_{\mathrm{red}})$ *is a q-Hamiltonian* K*-space. In particular, if* $K = \{e\}$ *it is a symplectic manifold.*

We wish to relate the canonical twisted Spin_c-structures for M_{red} to that for M. We need:

Lemma 7.12. *There is a* $G \times K$*-equivariant Morita morphism*

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}}(TM)|_Z \dashrightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}}(TM_{\mathrm{red}}), \tag{27}$$

with underlying map the quotient map $\pi\colon Z \to M_{\mathrm{red}}$.

Proof. Consider the exact sequences of vector bundles over Z,

$$0 \to Z \times \mathfrak{g} \to TZ \to \pi^* TM_{\mathrm{red}} \to 0, \tag{28}$$

where the first map is inclusion of the generating vector fields, and

$$0 \to TZ \to TM|_Z \to Z \times \mathfrak{g}^* \to 0, \tag{29}$$

where the map $TM|_Z \to \mathfrak{g}^* \cong \mathfrak{g} = T_eG$ is the restriction $(\mathrm{d}\Phi)|_Z$. (We are writing $\mathfrak{g}^*$ in (29) to avoid confusion with the copy of $\mathfrak{g}$ in (28).) The Euclidean metric on TM gives orthogonal splittings of both exact sequences, hence it gives a $K \times G$-equivariant direct sum decomposition

$$TM|_Z = \pi^* TM_{\mathrm{red}} \oplus Z \times (\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*). \tag{30}$$

The standard symplectic structure

$$\omega_{\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}^*}((v_1, \mu_1), (v_2, \mu_2)) = \mu_1(v_2) - \mu_2(v_1) \tag{31}$$

defines a $K \times G$-equivariant Spin_c-structure on $Z \times (\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*)$, and gives the desired equivariant Morita isomorphism. □

Note that the restriction of the Morita morphism $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}}(TM) \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{Spin}}_{K\times G}$ to $Z \subset M$ takes values in $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{Spin}}_{K\times G}|_{K\times\{e\}}$. Let

$$\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{Spin}}_{K\times G}|_{K\times\{e\}} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{Spin}}_{K} \tag{32}$$

be the Morita isomorphism defined by the Morita trivialization of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{Spin}}_{G}|_{\{e\}}$. The twisted Spin_c-structure for (M, ω, Φ) descends to the twisted Spin_c-structure for the G-reduced space $(M_{\mathrm{red}}, \omega_{\mathrm{red}}, \Phi_{\mathrm{red}})$, in the following sense.

Theorem 7.13 (Reduction)**.** *Suppose (M, ω, Φ) is a q-Hamiltonian $K \times G$-manifold, such that e is a regular value of Φ_G and such that G acts freely on $\Phi_G^{-1}(e)$. The diagram of $K \times G$-equivariant Morita morphisms*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}}(TM)|_Z \arrow[r, dashed] \arrow[d, dashed] & \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{Spin}}_{K\times G}|_{K\times\{e\}} \arrow[d, dashed] \\
\widetilde{\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}}(TM_{\mathrm{red}}) \arrow[r, dashed] & \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{Spin}}_{K}
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes up to equivariant 2-isomorphism. Here the vertical maps are given by (27) *and* (32)*.*

The proof uses the following normal form result for $TM|_Z$.

Lemma 7.14. *For a suitable choice of invariant Euclidean metric on TM, the decomposition $TM|_Z = \pi^* TM_{\mathrm{red}} \oplus Z \times (\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*)$ from* (30) *is compatible with the 2-forms. That is,*

$$\omega|_Z = \pi^*\omega_{\mathrm{red}} \oplus \omega_{\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}^*}.$$

Proof. We will construct $K \times G$-equivariant splittings of the exact sequences (28) and (29) so that (30) is compatible with the 2-forms. (One may then take an invariant Euclidean metric on $TM|_Z$ for which these splittings are orthogonal, and extend to TM.) Begin with an arbitrary $K \times G$-invariant splitting

$$TM|_Z = TZ \oplus F.$$

Since $F \cap \ker(\omega) = 0$, the sub-bundle $F^\omega \subset TM|_Z$ (the set of vectors ω-orthogonal to all vectors in F) has codimension $\mathrm{codim}(F^\omega) = \dim F = \dim \mathfrak{g}$. The moment map condition shows that ω is non-degenerate on $F \oplus Z \times \mathfrak{g}$. Hence $(Z \times \mathfrak{g}) \cap F^\omega = 0$, and therefore

$$TM|_Z = (Z \times \mathfrak{g}) \oplus F^\omega.$$

Let $\phi\colon TM|_Z \to Z \times \mathfrak{g}$ be the projection along F^ω. The subspace

$$F' = \{v - \tfrac{1}{2}\phi(v) |\ v \in F\}$$

is again an invariant complement to TZ in $TM|_Z$, and it is *isotropic* for ω. Indeed, if $v_1, v_2 \in F$,

$$\omega(v_1 - \tfrac{1}{2}\phi(v_1),\, v_2 - \tfrac{1}{2}\phi(v_2)) = \tfrac{1}{2}\omega(v_1, v_2 - \phi(v_2)) + \tfrac{1}{2}\omega(v_1 - \phi(v_1), v_2)$$

vanishes since $v_i - \phi(v_i) \in F^\omega$. The restriction of $(\mathrm{d}\Phi_G)|_Z \colon TM|_Z \to \mathfrak{g}^*$ to F' identifies $F' = Z \times \mathfrak{g}^*$. We have hence shown the existence of an invariant decomposition $TM|_Z = TZ \oplus Z \times \mathfrak{g}^*$ where the second summand is embedded as an ω-isotropic subspace, and such that $(\mathrm{d}\Phi_G)|_Z$ is projection to the second summand. From the G-moment map condition

$$\iota(\xi_M)\omega|_Z = -\tfrac{1}{2}\Phi_G^* B((\theta^L + \theta^R)|_Z, \xi) = -B((\mathrm{d}\Phi_G)|_Z, \xi), \quad \xi \in \mathfrak{g},$$

we see that the induced 2-form on the sub-bundle $Z \times (\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*)$ is just the standard one, $\omega_{\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}^*}$. The ω-orthogonal space $Z \times (\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*)^\omega$ defines a complement to $Z \times \mathfrak{g} \subset TZ$, and is hence identified with $\pi^* TM_{\mathrm{red}}$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 7.13. Let $\Theta \colon TM|_Z \dashrightarrow TM_{\mathrm{red}}$ be the bundle morphism given by projection to the first summand in (30), followed by the quotient map. Then

$$(\Theta, \omega_{\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}^*}) \colon (\mathbb{T}M|_Z, TM|_Z) \dashrightarrow (\mathbb{T}M_{\mathrm{red}}, TM_{\mathrm{red}}),$$

is a strong Dirac morphism, and the resulting Morita morphism $\mathcal{A}_{TM}|_Z \dashrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{TM_{\mathrm{red}}}$ fits into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} \widetilde{\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}}(TM)|_Z \arrow[r, dashed] \arrow[d, dashed] & \mathcal{A}_{TM}|_Z \arrow[d, dashed] \\ \widetilde{\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}}(TM_{\mathrm{red}}) \arrow[r, dashed] & \mathcal{A}_{TM_{\mathrm{red}}} \end{tikzcd} \tag{33}$$

On the other hand, letting $\mathrm{pr}_1 \colon T(K \times G)|_{K \times \{e\}} \to TK$ be projection to the first summand, we have

$$(\mathrm{pr}_1, 0) \circ (\mathrm{d}\Phi|_Z, \omega|_Z) = (\mathrm{d}\Phi_{\mathrm{red}}, \omega_{\mathrm{red}}) \circ (\Theta, \omega_{\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}^*}),$$

so that the resulting diagram of Morita morphisms

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{A}_{TM}|_Z \arrow[r, dashed] \arrow[d, dashed] & \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{Spin}}_{K\times G}|_{K\times\{e\}} \arrow[d, dashed] \\ \mathcal{A}_{TM_{\mathrm{red}}} \arrow[r, dashed] & \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{Spin}}_K \end{tikzcd} \tag{34}$$

commutes up to 2-isomorphism. Placing (33) next to (34), the Theorem follows. $\square$

Remark 7.15. If e is a regular value of Φ_G, but the action of G on Z is not free, the reduced space M_{red} is usually an orbifold. The Theorem extends to this situation with obvious modifications.

Remark 7.16. Reduction at more general values $g \in G$ may be expressed in terms of reduction at e, using the *shifting trick*: Let $G_g \subset G$ be the centralizer of g, and $\mathrm{Ad}(G)g^{-1} \cong G/G_{g^{-1}}$ the conjugacy class of g^{-1}. Then

$$M/\!\!/_g G := \Phi_G^{-1}(g)/G_g = (M \times \mathrm{Ad}(G)g^{-1})/\!\!/G$$

where $M \times \mathrm{Ad}(G).g^{-1}$ is the fusion product. Again, one finds that g is a regular value of Φ_G if and only if the G_g-action on $\Phi^{-1}(g)$ is locally free, and if the action is free then $M/\!\!/_g G$ is a q-Hamiltonian K-space.

8. HAMILTONIAN LG-SPACES

In his 1988 paper, Freed [15] argued that for a compact, simple and simply connected Lie group G, the canonical line bundle over the Kähler manifold LG/G (and over the other coadjoint orbits of the loop group) is a $\widehat{LG}$-equivariant Hermitian line bundle $K \to LG/G$, where the central circle of $\widehat{LG}$ acts with a weight $-2\mathsf{h}^\vee$, where $\mathsf{h}^\vee$ is the dual Coxeter number. In [21], this was extended to more general Hamiltonian LG-spaces.

In this Section we will use the correspondence between Hamiltonian LG-spaces and q-Hamiltonian G-spaces to give a new construction of the canonical line bundle, in which it is no longer necessary to assume G simply connected. We begin by recalling the definition of a Hamiltonian LG-space. Let G be a compact Lie group, with a given invariant inner product B on its Lie algebra. We fix $s > 1/2$, and take take the loop group LG to be the Banach Lie group of maps $S^1 \to G$ of Sobolev class $s + 1/2$. Its Lie algebra $L\mathfrak{g}$ consists of maps $S^1 \to \mathfrak{g}$ of Sobolev class $s + 1/2$. We denote by $L\mathfrak{g}^*$ the $\mathfrak{g}$-valued 1-forms on S^1 of Sobolev class $s - 1/2$, with the gauge action $g \cdot \mu = \mathrm{Ad}_g(\mu) - g^*\theta^R$. A *Hamiltonian LG-manifold* is a Banach manifold N with an action of LG, an invariant (weakly) symplectic 2-form $\sigma \in \Omega^2(N)$, and a smooth LG-equivariant map $\Psi\colon N \to L\mathfrak{g}^*$ satisfying the moment map condition

$$\iota(\xi^\sharp)\sigma = -\mathrm{d}\langle \Psi, \xi\rangle, \quad \xi \in L\mathfrak{g}.$$

Here the pairing between elements of $L\mathfrak{g}^*$ and of $L\mathfrak{g}$ is given by the inner product B followed by integration over S^1.

Suppose now that G is connected, and let $\mathcal{P}G$ be the space of paths $\gamma\colon \mathbb{R} \to G$ of Sobolev class $s{+}1/2$ such that $\pi(\gamma) = \gamma(t{+}1)\gamma(t)^{-1}$ is constant. The map $\pi\colon \mathcal{P}G \to G$ taking γ to this constant is a G-equivariant principal LG-bundle, where $a \in G$ acts by $\gamma \mapsto a\gamma$ and $\lambda \in LG$ acts by $\gamma \mapsto \gamma\lambda^{-1}$. One has $\mathcal{P}G/G \cong L\mathfrak{g}^*$ with quotient map $\gamma \mapsto \gamma^{-1}\dot{\gamma}\mathrm{d}t$. Let $\widetilde{N} \to N$ be the principal G-bundle obtained by pull-back of the bundle $\mathcal{P}G \to L\mathfrak{g}^*$, and $\widetilde{\Psi}\colon \widetilde{N} \to \mathcal{P}G$ the lifted moment map. Then $\widetilde{\Psi}$ is $LG \times G$-equivariant. Since the LG-action on $\mathcal{P}G$ is a principal action, the same is true for the action on $\widetilde{N}$. Assuming that Ψ (hence $\widetilde{\Psi}$) is *proper*, one obtains a smooth *compact* manifold $M = \widetilde{N}/LG$ with an induced G-map $\Phi\colon M \to G = \mathcal{P}G/LG$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{N} \arrow[r, "\widetilde{\Psi}"] \arrow[d, "\pi_M"'] & \mathcal{P}G \arrow[d, "\pi_G"] \\
M \arrow[r, "\Phi"'] & G
\end{tikzcd}$$

In [2], it was shown how to obtain an invariant 2-form ω on M, making (M,ω,Φ) into a q-Hamiltonian G-spaces. This construction sets up a 1-1 correspondence between Hamiltonian LG-spaces with proper moment maps and q-Hamiltonian spaces.

As noted in Remark 7.2, the canonical twisted Spin_c-structure for (M,ω,Φ) defines a G-equivariant Morita trivialization of the bundle $\mathcal{E}\colon \mathbb{C} \dashrightarrow \Phi^*\mathcal{A}_G^{\mathrm{Spin}^{\otimes 2}}$ over M. On the other hand, let $\widehat{LG}^{\mathrm{Spin}}$ be the pull-back of the basic central extension $L\widehat{\mathrm{SO}(\mathfrak{g})}$ under the adjoint action. By the discussion in Section 6.3, the pull-back bundle $\mathcal{A}_G^{\mathrm{Spin}}$ to $\mathcal{P}G$ has a canonical $\widehat{LG}^{\mathrm{Spin}}\times G$-equivariant Morita trivialization,

$$\mathcal{S}_0\colon \mathbb{C} \dashrightarrow \pi_G^*\mathcal{A}_G^{\mathrm{Spin}},$$

where the central circle of $\widehat{LG}^{\mathrm{Spin}}$ acts with weight 1. Tensoring $\mathcal{S}_0$ with itself, and pulling everything back to $\widehat{N}$ we obtain two Morita trivializations $\pi_M^*\mathcal{E}$ and $\widetilde{\Psi}^*(\mathcal{S}_0\otimes\mathcal{S}_0)$ of the Dixmier-Douady bundle $\mathcal{C}$ over $\widetilde{N}$, given by the pull-back of $\mathcal{A}_G^{\mathrm{Spin}^{\otimes 2}}$ under $\Phi\circ\pi_M=\pi_G\circ\widetilde{\Psi}$. Let

$$\widetilde{K} := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\widetilde{\Psi}^*(\mathcal{S}_0\otimes\mathcal{S}_0),\pi_M^*\mathcal{E})$$

Then $\widetilde{K}$ is a $\widehat{LG}^{\mathrm{Spin}}\times G$-equivariant Hermitian line bundle, where the central circle in $\widehat{LG}^{\mathrm{Spin}}$ acts with weight -2. Its quotient $K=\widetilde{K}/G$ is the desired *canonical bundle* for the Hamiltonian LG-manifold N.

Remark 8.1. For G simple and simply connected, the central extension $\widehat{LG}^{\mathrm{Spin}}$ is the $\mathsf{h}^\vee$-th power of the 'basic central' extension $\widehat{LG}$. We may thus also think of K_N as a $\widehat{LG}$-equivariant line bundle where the central circle acts with weight $-2\mathsf{h}^\vee$.

The canonical line bundle is well-behaved under symplectic reduction. That is, if e is a regular value of Φ then $0\in L\mathfrak{g}^*$ is also a regular value of Ψ, and $\Phi^{-1}(e)\cong\Psi^{-1}(0)$ as G-spaces. Assume that G acts freely on these level sets, so that $M/\!\!/G=N/\!\!/G$ is a symplectic manifold. The canonical line bundle for $M/\!\!/G$ is simply $K_{M/\!\!/G}=K_N|_{\Psi^{-1}(0)}/G$. As in [21], one can sometimes use this fact to compute the canonical line bundle over moduli spaces of flat G-bundles over surfaces.

Appendix A. Boundary conditions

In this Section, we will prove several facts about the operator $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ on the complex Hilbert-space $L^2([0,1],\mathbb{C}^n)$, with boundary conditions defined by $A\in\mathrm{U}(n)$,

$$\mathrm{dom}(D_A)=\{f\in L^2([0,1],\mathbb{C}^n)|\ \dot f\in L^2([0,1],\mathbb{C}^n),\ f(1)=-Af(0)\}.$$

Let $e^{2\pi i\lambda^{(1)}},\ldots,e^{2\pi i\lambda^{(n)}}$ be the eigenvalues of A, with corresponding normalized eigenvectors $v^{(1)},\ldots,v^{(n)}\in\mathbb{C}^n$. Then the spectrum of D_A is given by the eigenvalues $2\pi i(\lambda^{(r)}+k-\frac{1}{2}),\ \ k\in\mathbb{Z},\ r=1,\dots,n$ with eigenfunctions

$$\phi_k^{(r)}(t)=\exp(2\pi i\,(\lambda^{(r)}+k-\frac{1}{2})\,t)\,v^{(r)}.$$

We define $J_A=i\,\mathrm{sign}(-iD_A)$; this coincides with $J_A=D_A/|D_A|$ if D_A has trivial kernel.

Proposition A.1. *Let $A,A'\in\mathrm{U}(n)$. Then $J_{A'}-J_A$ is Hilbert-Schmidt if and only if $A'=A$.*

Proof. Suppose $A'\neq A$. Let Π,Π' be the orthogonal projection operators onto $\ker(J_A-i),\ \ker(J_{A'}-i)$. It suffices to show that $\Pi'-\Pi$ is not Hilbert-Schmidt, i.e. that $(\Pi'-\Pi)^2$ is not trace class. Since

$$(\Pi-\Pi')^2=\Pi(I-\Pi')\Pi+(I-\Pi)\Pi'(I-\Pi).$$

is a sum of two positive operators, it suffices to show that $\Pi(I-\Pi')\Pi$ is not trace class. Let $\phi_l^{'(s)}$ be the eigenfunctions of $D_{A'}$, defined similar to those for D_A, with eigenvalues $2\pi i(\lambda^{'(s)}+l-\frac{1}{2})$. Indicating the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions for A' by a prime $'$, we have

$$\mathrm{tr}(\Pi(I-\Pi')\Pi)=\sum\Big|\langle\phi_k^{(r)},\phi_l^{'(s)}\rangle\Big|^2.$$

where the sum is over all k,r,l,s satisfying $\lambda^{(r)}+k-\frac{1}{2}>0$ and $\lambda^{'(s)}+l-\frac{1}{2}\leq 0$. But

$$\Big|\langle\phi_k^{(r)},\phi_l^{'(s)}\rangle\Big|^2=\Big|\frac{\langle v^{(r)},v^{'(s)}\rangle\ (e^{2\pi i(\lambda^{'(s)}-\lambda^{(r)})}-1)}{2\pi(\lambda^{'(s)}-\lambda^{(r)}+l-k)}\Big|^2.$$

Since $A'\neq A$, we can choose r,s such that

$$e^{2\pi i\lambda^{(r)}}\neq e^{2\pi i\lambda^{'(s)}}\quad\text{and}\quad\langle v^{(r)},v^{'(s)}\rangle\neq 0.$$

For such r,s, the enumerator is a non-zero constant, and the sum over k,l is divergent. □

Proposition A.2. *Given $A,A'\in\mathrm{U}(n)$, let*

$$\gamma\colon[0,1]\to\mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C})$$

be a continuous map with

$$A'\gamma(0)=\gamma(1)A,$$

and such that $\dot\gamma\in L^\infty([0,1],\mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C}))$. Let M_γ be the bounded operator on $L^2([0,1],\mathbb{C}^n)$ given as multiplication by γ. Then

$$M_\gamma J_A-J_{A'}M_\gamma$$

is Hilbert-Schmidt.

Proof. This is a mild extension of Proposition(6.3.1) in Pressley-Segal [25, page 82], and we will follow their line of argument. Using the notation from the proof of Proposition A.1, it suffices to show that $M_\gamma\Pi-\Pi'M_\gamma$ is Hilbert-Schmidt, or equivalently that both $(I-\Pi')M_\gamma\Pi$ and $\Pi'M_\gamma(I-\Pi)$ are

Hilbert-Schmidt. We will give the argument for $\Pi' M_\gamma(I-\Pi)$, the discussion for $(I-\Pi')M_\gamma\Pi$ is similar. We must prove that

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{tr}((\Pi' M_\gamma(I-\Pi))(\Pi' M_\gamma(I-\Pi))^*) &= \operatorname{tr}(\Pi' M_\gamma(I-\Pi)M_\gamma^*)\\ &= \sum |\langle \phi_k^{'(r)}|\, M_\gamma|\, \phi_l^{(s)}\rangle|^2 < \infty,\end{aligned}$$

where the sum is over all k, r with $\lambda^{'(r)} + k - \frac{1}{2} > 0$ and over all l, s with $\lambda^{(s)} + l - \frac{1}{2} \le 0$. Changing the sum by only finitely many terms, we may replace this with a summation over all k, r, l, s such that $k > 0$ and $l \le 0$. Since $\langle \phi_k^{'(r)}|M_\gamma|\phi_l^{(s)}\rangle = \langle \phi_{k+n}^{'(r)}|M_\gamma|\phi_{l+n}^{(s)}\rangle$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and since there are m terms with fixed $k - l = m$, the assertion is equivalent to

$$\sum_{r,s}\sum_{m>0} m\ |\langle \phi_0^{'(r)}|M_\gamma|\phi_m^{(s)}\rangle|^2 < \infty. \tag{35}$$

To obtain this estimate, we use $\dot\gamma \in L^\infty([0,1], \mathrm{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C}))$. We have

$$\sum_{r,s}\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} |\langle \phi_0^{'(r)}|M_{\dot\gamma}|\phi_m^{(s)}\rangle|^2 = \sum_r ||M_{\dot\gamma}^*\phi_0^{'(r)}||^2 < \infty.$$

An integration by parts shows

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \phi_0^{'(r)}|M_{\dot\gamma}|\phi_m^{(s)}\rangle =& -2\pi i(\lambda^{(s)} - \lambda^{'(r)} + m)\langle \phi_0^{'(r)}|M_\gamma|\phi_m^{(s)}\rangle\\ &+ \langle \phi_0^{'(r)}(1)|\gamma(1)|\phi_m^{(s)}(1)\rangle - \langle \phi_0^{'(r)}(0)|\gamma(0)|\phi_m^{(s)}(0)\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

The boundary terms cancel since $A'\gamma(0) = \gamma(1)A$, and

$$\phi_0^{'(r)}(1) = -A'\phi_0^{'(r)}(0), \quad \phi_m^{(s)}(1) = -A\phi_m^{(s)}(0).$$

Hence we obtain

$$\sum_{r,s}\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} (\lambda^{(s)} - \lambda^{'(r)} + m)^2\ |\langle \phi_0^{'(r)}|M_\gamma|\phi_m^{(s)}\rangle|^2 < \infty$$

which implies (35). □

Proposition A.3. *Let $A \in \mathrm{U}(n)$, and let $\mu \in L^\infty([0,1], \mathfrak{u}(n))$. Consider $D_{A,\mu} = D_A + M_\mu$ with domain equal to that of D_A, and define $J_{A,\mu}$ similar to J_A. Then $J_{A,\mu} - J_A$ is Hilbert-Schmidt.*

Proof. Let $\gamma \in C([0,1], \mathrm{U}(n))$ be the solution of the initial value problem $\dot\gamma\gamma^{-1} = -\mu$ with $\gamma(0) = I$. Let $A = \gamma(1)A'$. The operator M_γ of multiplication by γ takes $\mathrm{dom}(D_{A'})$ to $\mathrm{dom}(D_A)$, and

$$M_\gamma D_{A'} M_\gamma^{-1} = D_A - \dot\gamma\gamma^{-1} = D_{A,\mu}.$$

Hence $M_\gamma J_{A'} M_{\gamma^{-1}} = J_{A,\mu}$. By Proposition A.2, $M_\gamma J_{A'} M_{\gamma^{-1}}$ differs from J_A by a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. □

Let us finally consider the continuity properties of the family of operators D_A, $A \in \mathrm{U}(n)$. Recall [27, Chapter VIII] that the *norm resolvent topology* on the set of unbounded skewadjoint operators on a Hilbert space is defined by declaring that a net D_i converges to D if and only if $R_1(D_i) = (D_i -$

$I)^{-1} \to R_1(D) = (D-I)^{-1}$ in norm. This then implies that $R_z(D_i) \to R_z(D)$ in norm, for any z with non-zero real part, and in fact $f(D_i) \to f(D)$ in norm for any bounded continuous function f. For bounded operators, convergence in the norm resolvent topology is equivalent to convergence in the norm topology.

Proposition A.4. *The map $A \mapsto D_A$ is continuous in the norm resolvent topology.*

Proof. We will use that $||R_1(D)|| = ||(D-I)^{-1}|| < 1$ for any skew-adjoint operator D. Let us check continuity at any given $A \in \mathrm{U}(n)$. Given $a \in \mathfrak{u}(n)$, let us write $D_a = D_{\exp(a)A}$. We will prove continuity at A by showing that

$$||R_1(D_a) - R_1(D_0)|| \leq 3||a||.$$

Let $U_a \in \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{V})$ be the operator of pointwise multiplication by $\exp(ta) \in \mathrm{U}(V)$. Then

$$||U_a - U_0|| = \sup\nolimits_{t\in[0,1]} ||\exp(ta) - I|| \leq ||a||.$$

The operator U_a takes the domain of D_0 to that of D_a, since $f(1) = -Af(0)$ implies $(U_af)(1) = \exp(a)f(1) = -\exp(a)Af(0)$. Furthermore,

$$D_a = U_a(D_0 + M_a)U_a^{-1}$$

Hence

$$R_1(D_a) = U_a \; R_1(D_0 + M_a) \; U_a^{-1}.$$

The second resolvent identity $R_1(D_0+M_a) - R_1(D_0) = R_1(D_0+M_a)M_aR_1(D_0)$ shows

$$||R_1(D_0 + M_a) - R_1(D_0)|| \leq ||M_a|| = ||a||.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&||R_1(D_a) - R_1(D_0)|| = ||U_aR_1(D_0+M_a)U_a^{-1} - U_0R_1(D_0)U_0^{-1}|| \\
&\leq ||(U_a - U_0)R_1(D_0+M_a)U_a^{-1}|| + ||U_0R_1(D_0+M_a)(U_a^{-1} - U_0^{-1})|| \\
&\quad + ||U_0(R_1(D_0+M_a) - R_1(D_0))U_0^{-1}|| \\
&\leq 2||a|| \; ||R_1(D_0+M_a)|| + ||R_1(D_0+M_a) - R_1(D_0)|| < 3||a||. \qquad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Appendix B. The Dixmier-Douady bundle over S^1

Let $S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ carry the *trivial* action of S^1. The Morita isomorphism classes of S^1-equivariant Dixmier-Douady bundles $\mathcal{A} \to S^1$ are labeled by their class

$$\mathrm{DD}_{S^1}(\mathcal{A}) \in H^3_{S^1}(S^1, \mathbb{Z}) \times H^1(S^1, \mathbb{Z}_2).$$

The bundle corresponding to $x \in H^3_{S^1}(S^1,\mathbb{Z}) = H^2_{S^1}(\mathrm{pt}) = \mathbb{Z}$ and $y \in H^1(S^1,\mathbb{Z}_2) = H^0(\mathrm{pt},\mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ may be described as follows. Let $L_{(x,y)} \cong \mathbb{C}$ be the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded S^1-representation, of parity given by the parity of y, and with S^1-weight given by x. Choose a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded S^1-equivariant Hilbert space

$\mathcal{H}$ with an equivariant isomorphism $\tau\colon \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H} \otimes L$ preserving $\mathbb{Z}_2$-gradings. Then τ induces an S^1-equivariant $*$-homomorphism

$$\overline{\tau}\colon \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H} \otimes L) = \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}),$$

preserving $\mathbb{Z}_2$-gradings. The bundle $\mathcal{A} \to S^1$ with Dixmier-Douady class (x, y) is obtained from the trivial bundle $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})$, using $\overline{\tau}$ to glue $\{0\} \times \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\{1\} \times \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})$. Given another choice $\mathcal{H}', \tau'$, one obtains a Morita isomorphism $\mathcal{E}\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is obtained from a similar boundary identification for $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}', \mathcal{H})$.

A convenient choice of H, τ defining the bundle with $x = 1, y = 1$ is as follows. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space with orthonormal basis of the form s_K, indexed by the subsets $K = \{k_1, k_2, \ldots\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ such that $k_1 > k_2 > \cdots$ and $k_l = k_{l+1} + 1$ for l sufficiently large. Let

$$m_K = \#\{k \in K | k > 0\} - \#\{k \in \mathbb{Z} - K|\ k \leq 0\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{H}$ carry the S^1-action such that s_K is a weight vector of weight m_K, and a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading, defined by the weight spaces of even/odd weight. Let $\tau(K) = \{k + 1|\ k \in K\}$. Then $m_{\tau(K)} = m_K + 1$, hence the automorphism $\tau\colon \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ taking s_K to $s_{\tau(K)}$ has the desired properties.

The Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ can also be viewed as a spinor module. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a real Hilbert space, with complexification $\mathcal{V}^{\mathbb{C}}$, and let $f_k,\ k \in \mathbb{Z}$ be vectors such that f_k together with f_k^* are an orthonormal basis. The elements s_K for $K = \{k_1, k_2, \cdots\}$ with $k_1 > k_2 > \cdots$ are written as formal infinite wedge products

$$s_K = f_{k_1} \wedge f_{k_2} \wedge \cdots$$

suggesting the action of the Clifford algebra: $\varrho(f_k)$ acts by exterior multiplication, while $\varrho(f_{k^*})$ acts by contraction. The automorphism $\tau \in \mathrm{U}(\mathcal{H})$ is an implementer of the orthogonal transformation $T \in \mathrm{O}(V)$,

$$Tf_k = f_{k+1}, \quad Tf_k^* = f_{k+1}^*. \tag{36}$$

Let us denote the resulting Dixmier-Douady bundle by $\mathcal{A}_{(1,1)}$.

Proposition B.1. *The Dixmier-Douady bundle $\mathcal{A}_{(1,1)} \to S^1$ is equivariantly isomorphic to the Dixmier-Douady bundle $\mathcal{A} \to \mathrm{SO}(2) \cong S^1$, constructed as in Section 6.*

Proof. For $s \in \mathbb{R}$, let $A_s \in \mathrm{SO}(2)$ be the matrix of rotation by $2\pi s$, and let D_s be the skew-adjoint operator $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ on $L^2([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^2)$ with boundary conditions $f(1) = -A_s f(0)$. The operator D_0 has an orthonormal system of eigenvectors $f_k, f_k^*,\ k \in \mathbb{Z}$ given by

$$f_k(t) = e^{2\pi i(k-\frac{1}{2})t}u,$$

with $u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1, i)$. The eigenvalues for f_k, f_k^* are $\pm 2\pi i(k - \frac{1}{2})$. We see that the $+i$ eigenspace of $J = D_0/|D_0|$ is given by

$$\mathcal{V}_+ = \mathrm{span}\{\cdots, f_3, f_2, f_1, f_0^*, f_{-1}^*, \cdots\}.$$

There is a unique isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(\mathcal{V})$-modules $\mathcal{S}_J \to \mathcal{H}$ taking the 'vacuum vector' $1 \in \mathcal{S}_J = \overline{\wedge \mathcal{V}_+}$ to the 'vacuum vector' $f_0 \wedge f_{-1} \wedge \cdots$.

For $s \in \mathbb{R}$, define orthogonal transformations $U_s \in \mathrm{O}(\mathcal{V})$, where U_s is pointwise multiplication by $t \mapsto A_{st}$. On f_k the operator U_s acts as multiplication by $e^{2\pi i s t}$, and on f_k^* as multiplication by $e^{-2\pi i s t}$. Hence

$$f_k^{(s)} = U_s f_k, \quad (f_k^{(s)})^* = U_s f_k^*$$

are the eigenvectors of D_s, with shifted eigenvalues $\pm 2\pi i(k - \frac{1}{2} + s)$. The complex structure

$$J_s = U_s J U_s^{-1}$$

differs from $J_{D_s} = i\,\mathrm{sign}(-iD_s)$ by a finite rank operator. Hence, letting $\mathcal{S}_s$ denote the $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(\mathcal{V})$-module defined by J_s, the fiber of $\mathcal{A} \to \mathrm{SO}(2)$ at $A(s)$ may be described as $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{S}_s)$. The orthogonal transformation U_s extends to an orthogonal transformation of $\overline{\wedge \mathcal{V}}$, taking $\mathcal{S} = \overline{\wedge \mathcal{V}_+}$ to $\mathcal{S}_s = \overline{\wedge \mathcal{V}_{+,s}}$, where $\mathcal{V}_{\pm,s} = U_s \mathcal{V}_\pm$. Hence each $\mathcal{S}_s$ is identified with $\mathcal{S} \cong \mathcal{H}$ as a Hilbert space (not as a $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(\mathcal{V})$-module). The identification $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{S}_0) \cong \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{S}_1)$ is given by the choice of any isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{l}(\mathcal{V})$-modules $\mathcal{S}_0 \to \mathcal{S}_1$. In terms of the identifications with $\mathcal{H}$, such an isomorphism is given by an implementer of the orthogonal transformation U_1. The proof is completed by the observation that $U_1 = T$ (cf. (36)), which is implemented by τ. ☐

We are now in position to outline an alternative argument for the computation of the Dixmier-Douady class of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{SO}(n)}$, Proposition 6.2. Note that $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{SO}(n)}$ is equivariant under the conjugation action of $\mathrm{SO}(n)$. One has $H^3_{\mathrm{SO}(n)}(\mathrm{SO}(n), \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ for $n \geq 2,\ n \neq 4$, and the natural maps to ordinary cohomology are isomorphisms for $n \geq 3$, $n \neq 4$. Similarly $H^1_{\mathrm{SO}(n)}(\mathrm{SO}(n), \mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ for $n \geq 2$, and the natural map to $H^1(\mathrm{SO}(n), \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is an isomorphism. On the other hand, the map $H^3_{\mathrm{SO}(n)}(\mathrm{SO}(n), \mathbb{Z}) \to H^3_{\mathrm{SO}(2)}(\mathrm{SO}(2), \mathbb{Z})$ (defined by the inclusion $\mathrm{SO}(2) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SO}(n)$ as the upper left corner) is an isomorphism for $n \geq 2,\ n \neq 4$, and likewise for $H^1(\cdot, \mathbb{Z}_2)$. It hence suffices to check that the bundle over $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ has *equivariant* Dixmier-Douady class $(1,1) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. But this is clear from our very explicit description of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{SO}(2)}$.

References

[1] A. Alekseev, H. Bursztyn, and E. Meinrenken, *Pure spinors on Lie groups*, Asterisque (to appear).

[2] A. Alekseev, A. Malkin, and E. Meinrenken, *Lie group valued moment maps*, J. Differential Geom. **48** (1998), no. 3, 445–495.

[3] A. Alekseev and E. Meinrenken, *The non-commutative Weil algebra*, Invent. Math. **139** (2000), 135–172.

[4] A. Alekseev, E. Meinrenken, and C. Woodward, *Duistermaat-Heckman measures and moduli spaces of flat bundles over surfaces*, Geom. and Funct. Anal. **12** (2002), 1–31.

[5] H. Araki, *Boguliobov automorphisms and fock representations of canonical anticommutation relations*, Amer. Math. Soc. Contemporary Mathematics **62** (1987), 23–141.

[6] M. Atiyah and G. Segal, *Twisted K-theory*, Ukr. Mat. Visn. **1** (2004), no. 3, 287–330.

[7] H. Bursztyn and M. Crainic, *Dirac structures, momentum maps, and quasi-Poisson manifolds*, The breadth of symplectic and Poisson geometry, Progr. Math., vol. 232, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2005, pp. 1–40.

[8] H. Bursztyn and O. Radko, *Gauge equivalence of dirac structures and symplectic groupoids*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **53** (2003), 309–337.

[9] A. Carey, J. Mickelsson, and M. Murray, *Index theory, gerbes, and Hamiltonian quantization*, Comm. Math. Phys. **183** (1997), no. 3, 707–722.

[10] A. Connes and G. Skandalis, *The longitudinal index theorem for foliations*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **20** (1984), no. 6, 1139–1183.

[11] J. Dixmier and A. Douady, *Champs continus d'espaces hilbertiens et de C^*-algèbres*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **91** (1963), 227–284.

[12] C. L. Douglas, *On the twisted K-homology of simple Lie groups*, Topology **45** (2006), no. 6, 955–988.

[13] D. Freed, M. Hopkins, and C. Teleman, *Loop Groups and Twisted K-Theory I*, arXiv:0711.1906.

[14] ———, *Loop Groups and Twisted K-Theory II*, arXiv:math.AT/0511232.

[15] D. S. Freed, *The geometry of loop groups*, J. Differential Geom. **28** (1988), 223–276.

[16] V. Mathai, R. Melrose, and I. Singer, *Fractional analytic index*, J. Differential Geom. **74** (2006), 265–292.

[17] V. Mathai, R. Melrose, and I.M. Singer, *The index of projective families of elliptic operators*, Geom. Topol. **9** (2005), 341–373, arXiv:math.DG/0206002.

[18] V. Mathai, R.B. Melrose, and I.M. Singer, *The index of projective families of elliptic operators: the decomposable case*, Preprint (2008), arXiv:0809.0028.

[19] E. Meinrenken, In preparation.

[20] ———, *On the quantization of conjugacy classes*, L' Enseignement Mathematique (to appear).

[21] E. Meinrenken and C. Woodward, *Canonical bundles for Hamiltonian loop group manifolds*, Pacific J. Math. **198** (2001), no. 2, 477–487.

[22] J. Mickelsson, *Gerbes and quantum field theory*, Encyclopedia of Mathematical Physics (Tsun Francoise, Naber, ed.), Elsevier, 2006, math-ph/0603031.

[23] R. J. Plymen, *Strong Morita equivalence, spinors and symplectic spinors*, J. Operator Theory **16** (1986), no. 2, 305–324.

[24] R.J. Plymen and P.L. Robinson, *Spinors in Hilbert space*, Cambridge University Press, 1994.

[25] A. Pressley and G. Segal, *Loop groups*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1988.

[26] I. Raeburn and D. Williams, *Morita equivalence and continuous-trace C^*-algebras*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 60, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.

[27] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Functional analysis*, Academic Press, 1972.

[28] J. Rosenberg, *Continuous-trace algebras from the bundle theoretic point of view*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A **47** (1989), no. 3, 368–381.

[29] G. Segal, *Elliptic cohomology (after Landweber-Stong, Ochanine, Witten, and others)*, Astérisque (1988), no. 161-162, Exp. No. 695, 4, 187–201 (1989), Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 1987/88.

[30] P. Ševera and A. Weinstein, *Poisson geometry with a 3-form background*, Progr. Theoret. Phys. Suppl. (2001), no. 144, 145–154, Noncommutative geometry and string theory (Yokohama, 2001).

[31] Z. Shahbazi, *Prequantization of quasi-Hamiltonian spaces*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2006), Art. ID 29354, 22.

[32] D. Shale and W. F. Stinespring, *Spinor representations of infinite orthogonal groups*, J. Math. Mech. **14** (1965), 315–322.

[33] B.-L. Wang, *Geometric cycles, index theory and twisted k-homology*, Journal of Noncommutative Geometry **2** (2008), no. 4, 497–552, arXiv:0710.1625.

[34] P. Xu, *Momentum maps and Morita equivalence*, J. Differential Geom. **67** (2004), no. 2, 289–333.

UNIVERSITY OF GENEVA, SECTION OF MATHEMATICS, 2-4 RUE DU LIÈVRE, 1211 GENÈVE 24, SWITZERLAND
E-mail address: `alekseev@math.unige.ch`

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 40 ST GEORGE STREET, TORONTO, ONTARIO M4S2E4, CANADA
E-mail address: `mein@math.toronto.edu`